10597

U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine

PYROTECHNICS HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT NO. 39-EJ-1485-99 RESIDENTIAL EXPOSURE FROM INHALATION OF AIR EMISSIONS FROM THE M195 GREEN STAR PARACHUTE SIGNAL FLARE

L305

Prepared by:

Environmental Health Risk Assessment & Risk Communication Program
and
Ambient Air Quality Management Program

Prepared for:

U.S. Army Environmental Center

Published date:

19 May 2000

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

USCHPPM

Readiness Thru Health

U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine

The lineage of the U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine (USACHPPM) can be traced back over 50 years. This organization began as the U.S. Army Industrial Hygiene Laboratory, established during the industrial buildup for World War II, under the direct supervision of the Army Surgeon General. Its original location was at the Johns Hopkins School of Hygiene and Public Health. Its mission was to conduct occupational health surveys and investigations within the Department of Defense's (DOD's) industrial production base. It was staffed with three personnel and had a limited annual operating budget of three thousand dollars.

Most recently, it became internationally known as the U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (AEHA). Its mission expanded to support worldwide preventive medicine programs of the Army, DOD, and other Federal agencies as directed by the Army Medical Command or the Office of The Surgeon General, through consultations, support services, investigations, on-site visits, and training.

On 1 August 1994, AEHA was redesignated the U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine with a provisional status and a commanding general officer. On 1 October 1995, the nonprovisional status was approved with a mission of providing preventive medicine and health promotion leadership, direction, and services for America's Army.

The organization's quest has always been one of excellence and the provision of quality service. Today, its goal is to be an established world-class center of excellence for achieving and maintaining a fit, healthy, and ready force. To achieve that end, the CHPPM holds firmly to its values which are steeped in rich military heritage:

★ *Integrity is the foundation*
★ *Excellence is the standard*
★ *Customer satisfaction is the focus*
★ *Its people are the most valued resource*
★ *Continuous quality improvement is the pathway*

This organization stands on the threshold of even greater challenges and responsibilities. It has been reorganized and reengineered to support the Army of the future. The CHPPM now has three direct support activities located in Fort Meade, Maryland; Fort McPherson, Georgia; and Fitzsimons Army Medical Center, Aurora, Colorado; to provide responsive regional health promotion and preventive medicine support across the U.S. There are also two CHPPM overseas commands in Landstuhl, Germany and Camp Zama, Japan who contribute to the success of CHPPM's increasing global mission. As CHPPM moves into the 21st Century, new programs relating to fitness, health promotion, wellness, and disease surveillance are being added. As always, CHPPM stands firm in its commitment to Army readiness. It is an organization proud of its fine history, yet equally excited about its challenging future.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

1. REPORT DATE *(DD-MM-YYYY)*	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED *(From - To)*
19-05-2000	Technical Report	March 1999-May 2000

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
Pyrotechnics Health Risk Assessment No.39-EJ-1485-99
Residential Exposure from Inhalation of the Air Emissions from the M195 Green Star Parchute Signal Flare
DODIC- L305

5a. CONTRACT NUMBER

5b. GRANT NUMBER

5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER

6. AUTHOR(S)
Hsieng-Ye Chang, Stafford D.F.R.Coakley, Jeffrey S. Grow, P.E.

5d. PROJECT NUMBER

5e. TASK NUMBER

5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine
5158 Blackhawk Road
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010-5422

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
Risk Assessment # 39-EJ-1485-99

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
U.S. Army Environmental Center
ATTN: SFIM_AEC-ETD
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5401

10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
USAEC

11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
SFIM-AEC-ET-CR-200027

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
Distribution Unlimited:
Approved for Public Release

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES
Point of Contact: Tamera Clark-Rush 410-436-6849

14. ABSTRACT
This assessment evaluated the potential for human health effects to offsite residents breathing air emissions following use of the M195 green star parchute signal flare during training exercises. The military uses pyrotechnics for signaling, obscuring, and illumination during training exercises to simulate battle conditions. Study results showed no protential for health risks to the hypothetical resident from inhalation of air emissions from the M195. To conduct this study, air emissions from the M195 were collected in a test chamber (at Dugway Proving Grounds, UT. This information was then used in an air dispersion model to determine ambient air concentrations at a location 100 meters (downwind from the site where the item was activated. Modeled air concentrations were combined with exposure information to estimate the amount of substances the hypothetical resident breathes. This intake was combined with the substance's health information, to determine if there is a potential for health risks from inhjalation of these substances. The health risk included both long-term and short term exposures to the modeled substance concentrations. Study results showed no potential for helath risks from inhalation of air emissions from the M195.

15. SUBJECT TERMS

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER *(Include area code)*
U	U	U	UU		

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U.S. ARMY CENTER FOR HEALTH PROMOTION AND PREVENTIVE MEDICINE
5158 BLACKHAWK ROAD
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010-5422

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF

MCHB-TS-EHR

PYROTECHNICS HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT NO. 39-EJ-1485-99 RESIDENTIAL EXPOSURE FROM INHALATION OF AIR EMISSIONS FROM THE M195 GREEN STAR PARACHUTE SIGNAL FLARE

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This assessment evaluated the potential for human health effects to offsite residents breathing air emissions following use of the M195 green star parachute signal flare (M195) during training exercises. The military uses pyrotechnics for signaling, obscuring, and illuminating during training and combat. Pyrotechnics are also used during training exercises to simulate battle conditions. Study results showed no potential for health risks to the hypothetical resident from inhalation of air emissions from the M195.

To conduct this study, air emissions from the M195 were collected in a test chamber (Bang Box) at the Dugway Proving Ground, Utah. This information was then used in an air dispersion model to determine ambient air concentrations at a location 100 meters (328 feet) downwind from the site where the M195 was activated. Since the training facility in this study is hypothetical, the air model used assumptions that provided conservative estimates of air concentrations.

Modeled air concentrations were combined with exposure information (e.g., number of exposures per year) to estimate the amount of substances the hypothetical resident breathes. This intake was combined with the substance's health information, which was obtained from agencies such as the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, to determine if there is a potential for health risks from inhalation of these substances.

The health risk study included both long-term (30 years) and short-term (15-minute or 1-hour) exposures to modeled substance concentrations. Study results showed no potential for health risks to the hypothetical resident from inhalation of air emissions from the M195.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF APPENDICES

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AEC	U.S. Army Environmental Center
AEGL	Acute Exposure Guideline Levels
AIHA	American Industrial Hygiene Association
ATV	Acute Toxicity Value
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ERPG	Emergency Response Planning Guidelines
HBSL	Health-Based Screening Level
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAC/AEGL	National Advisory Committee for Acute Exposure Guideline Levels
NEW	Net Explosive Weight
OEL	Occupational Exposure Limit
PM_{10}	Particulate Matter under 10 micrometers in size
PRG	Preliminary Remediation Goals
RBC	Risk-Based Concentration
RfC	Reference Concentration
TEEL	Temporary Emergency Exposure Limits
TPCWG	Total Petroleum Criteria Working Group
TSP	Total Suspended Particulates
USACHPPM	U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine

PYROTECHNICS HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT NO. 39-EJ-1485-99 RESIDENTIAL EXPOSURE FROM INHALATION OF AIR EMISSIONS FROM THE M195 GREEN STAR PARACHUTE SIGNAL FLARE

1. PURPOSE

This document presents the evaluation of the potential for human health effects to offsite residents breathing air emissions following use of the M195 green star parachute signal flare (M195) during training exercises.

2. AUTHORITY

Memorandum, U.S. Army Environmental Center, 4 June 1999, Subject: Pyrotechnics Risk Assessment.

3. REFERENCES

See Appendix A.

4. BACKGROUND

a. PYROTECHNICS AND THEIR USE

The term pyrotechnic is derived from the Greek words "pyr" and "techne" meaning fire and art. The terms pyrotechnics and fireworks are often used interchangeably. Examples of pyrotechnics include distress flares and fireworks used for commercial (for public displays) and consumer (e.g., sparklers) use. Every year during New Year and Independence Day celebrations fireworks are used for public displays across the country. During the 1998 Olympic Winter games in Nagano, Japan, almost 5000 pyrotechnics were launched during a firework display that lasted 8 minutes.

The military uses pyrotechnics for four purposes: 1) as a method of communication through the use of signals, 2) to produce smoke to reduce enemy effectiveness, 3) for illuminating the field, and 4) to simulate battle conditions during training exercises. Pyrotechnics play an important role in both military training and combat. It is important that our troops are adequately trained to use them properly.

b. WHAT IS THE M195 GREEN STAR PARACHUTE SIGNAL FLARE?

The M195 is a star parachute, which is a type of pyrotechnic device used for signaling and illuminating. The M195 produces a single, green parachute-suspended star. It is 10.16 inches long, 1.67 inches wide, and weighs 1.3 pounds (Reference 1).

c. USE OF THE M195 GREEN STAR PARACHUTE SIGNAL FLARE

The M195 is used during many Army training events. These events are held at nearly every Army training installation. In general, two of these items are used during a day of training, which typically occurs five times per year. A rocket containing the signal is launched from a hand-held device. After igniting, the rocket reaches a height of about 200 feet and produces a single, green star illumination resembling a firework. The signal extends to a height of 700 to 750 feet and can be seen from a distance of 30 to 35 miles at night (References 2, 3). Use of this device is important in training our troops to use and identify different signals, which is an important method of communication in the field.

d. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

The approach for this study consisted of two main parts: air dispersion modeling and exposure assessment. These are briefly discussed in the paragraphs below. Sections 5-7 present a more explicit discussion of the methodology used for this study.

Data generated in the "Bang Box" at the Dugway Proving Ground, Utah (Reference 4), were used with an atmospheric dispersion model (Reference 5) to estimate the average concentrations that would be experienced by an offsite resident. Since this study is designed to provide results that would be applicable to most Army training facilities, the training area used in this evaluation was a hypothetical one. In addition, air-modeling parameters were selected to mimic worst-case conditions.

The exposure assessment included calculations of time-averaged concentrations for both long-term (chronic) and short-term (acute) exposures. For the purpose of this study, air concentrations were averaged over 30 years for chronic exposures and 1 hour or 15 minutes for acute exposures. These concentrations were compared to chronic health-based screening levels established by the EPA or short-term reference concentrations established by selected agencies depending on the exposure duration (i.e., 30 years versus 1 hour or 15 minutes). If the chronic and acute averaged concentrations ($C_{chronic}$ and C_{acute}) were greater than these screening levels, further analysis would be warranted to determine the potential for health effects.

5. METHODS AND DATA COLLECTION

a. EMISSION FACTORS

The air modeling emission rates were derived from the pyrotechnics emission studies conducted at Dugway Proving Ground, Utah (Reference 4). These studies sampled air emissions from the firing of weapons and/or munitions

used in training. The purpose of this sampling was to identify and quantify the air emissions. The data provided by Dugway Proving Ground included the identification of the munitions item and compounds sampled, net explosive weight (NEW) of the item, vertical and horizontal dimensions of the plume from thermograph data and video, and compound emission factors. This data is included in the tables in Appendix B.

b. AIR MODEL

(1) BACKGROUND

Air dispersion models are available to mathematically simulate atmospheric conditions and behavior to predict downwind concentrations caused by emissions from various sources. However, specific models are not available to estimate the dispersion of emissions from the use of munitions in training. The emissions from munitions used in training result in ambient concentrations of compounds at various locations. The magnitude and location of these concentrations depend on many factors including the amount and type of emissions, the behavior of the source, and meteorological conditions. Based on the evaluation of air dispersion models for military munitions, the USACHPPM recommended using the Integrated PUFF (INPUFF) model to estimate the dispersion of emissions from mobile pyrotechnics (Reference 6).

(2) DESCRIPTION

The INPUFF Model was developed to simulate dispersion from instantaneous or semi-continuous point sources. This Gaussian-integrated puff model is capable of addressing a puff type release over short periods of time, and computations can be performed for a single point source for multiple receptors. The algorithm used to calculate concentrations uses a vertically uniformed wind direction (with no chemical reaction) to compute the contribution of each puff at a receptor for each time step/interval.

(3) ASSUMPTIONS

Some assumptions were made to best represent the M195 in the air model. These assumptions were as follows:

(a) For unconventional sources with no physical stack dimensions, the initial horizontal and vertical dispersion values (σ_y and σ_z) of the released puff were used to define the dimensions of the puff. Therefore, plume rise and formation were not determined by characterizing flue gas exit velocity and stack diameter, as they are with conventional point sources. The initial dimensions were set to values measured during Dugway Proving Ground testing and the dispersion of the initial cloud was modeled. The physical dimensions, including height and length of the puff or cloud, were estimated

from the thermograph data recorded at every time step. The data also included minimum, mean, and maximum temperature readings during the duration of the emission test and were used to define the flue gas exit temperature. These puffs were then modeled at different release heights as depicted in Figure 1.

(b) The worst-case release scenario analysis was performed using EPA Risk Management Program Guidance (Reference 7). This guidance includes tables for estimating the footprint of chemical releases. These guidelines were intended to inform emergency responders of the worst possible accidental release, but not necessarily the most likely. The EPA has defined most default conditions for meteorological modeling parameters. Table 1 lists the parameters that were used in the model.

TABLE 1. AIR MODEL INPUT PARAMETERS

MODEL PARAMETERS	
Number of meteorological periods (NTIME)	1
Duration of each meteorological period (ITIME)	400 s
Number of updates to the source (NSRCDS)	100 per source
Duration between each source update/time-step (ISUPDT)	4 s
Total time modeled/Simulation Period (NTIME * ITIME)	400 s
SOURCE PARAMETERS	
Source/Stack Diameter	0.5 m for sources (1-16).
Source/Stack Height	See Table 3.
Source Exit Temperature	Varied for each source every time step (4 s) degrees Kelvin (K)
Exit Velocity	NA
Emission Rate	1 g/s
Initial horizontal dispersion (σ_y)	Varied for each source every time step (4 s)
Initial vertical dispersion (σ_z)	Varied for each source every time step (4 s)
WORST CASE METEOROLOGICAL PARAMETERS	
Wind Speed	1 m/s
Atmospheric Stability	Category F
Wind Direction	270° degrees West
Ambient Temperature	293 degrees Kelvin (K) or 68 °F
Worst case Receptor Location	100 m directly downwind

(c) The resident used in this study was assumed to be directly downwind from the source. The meander of the puff is a major factor when estimating concentrations at given locations downwind from the source. Assuming that the resident is directly downwind from the source is the same as assuming that there is no puff meander and provides the most conservative modeled concentrations.

(d) For the purpose of this study, the number of items per event was defined as the activation of one item during a 12-hour period.

(e) Figure 1 provides a schematic diagram of the trajectory of the M195. The data for Figure 1 were obtained from References 1 and 8. The performance data provided estimated travel times (t), and velocities (v) at which the items ascend and descend.

FIGURE 1: SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF THE TRAJECTORY OF THE M195



Ascending: Release height for each source changes at a rate of 111.8 m per 4-s time step
Descending: Release height for each source changes at a rate of 8.17 m per 4-s time step

(4) GENERAL METHODOLOGY

(a) The INPUFF model determined the amount of time it would take for the puff to pass over a location 100 meters (m) downwind. The released puff migrated at the constant wind speed of one meter per second (1 m/s) downwind from the point of activation. Assuming a distance of 100 m and a travel velocity of 1 m/s, it took 100 s for the center of each puff to reach this distance.

(b) The model was run with a total runtime of 400 s to ensure that the total mass of the puff had passed the receptor and the source behavior recorded in the thermograph data was sufficiently simulated. Since the model is capable of providing 100 updates (puffs), each intermediate puff was assumed to be 400 s divided by 100 updates, which is 4 s. Calculated concentrations every time step (4 s) indicated that the puff reached the receptor within 80 s and dissipated below a unit concentration of 1 x 10-10 grams/m3 within 136 s.

(c) The parachute flares were modeled as 16 different sources with different source parameters for each time step. A different release height with varying release temperatures was used for each source. Table 2 illustrates how the emissions for the M195 were separated into 16 different point sources and the emissions for each source were staggered over a burn time of 64 s.

TABLE 2: M195 SOURCE SIMULATION

Source No.	Height (m)	Unit Emission Rate of 1g/s per time step*
1	1.0	0 to 4 s
2	112.0	4 to 8 s
3	228.0	8 to 12 s
4	220.0	12 to 16 s
5	212.0	16 to 20 s
6	203.0	20 to 24 s
7	196.0	24 to 28 s
8	187.0	28 to 32 s
9	179.0	32 to 36 s
10	171.0	36 to 40 s
11	163.0	40 to 44 s
12	154.0	44 to 48 s
13	146.0	48 to 52 s
14	138.0	52 to 56 s
15	130.0	56 to 60 s
16	122.0	60 to 64 s

* The emission rates return to 0 g/s for all time steps not shown in the table. Note: the location of each of the sources with z representing the base elevation was modeled at (x,y,z)=(0,0,0)

(5) USE OF MODEL OUTPUT

The concentrations provided by the INPUFF model are based on a unit emission rate of 1 g/s from an emission source and does not represent any pollutant-specific concentration from the use of pyrotechnics. The relationship between the emission rate and predicted concentration is linear. Therefore, the ratio of the predicted concentration to the unit emission rate was multiplied by each pollutant-specific emission rate to provide pollutant-specific concentrations.

(6) DETERMINATION OF POLLUTANT-SPECIFIC EMISSION RATES

(a) The actual emission rate per item (ER_1) for each pollutant was calculated using the following equation:

$$ER_1 = \frac{M \cdot CV}{t} \qquad \text{Equation 1}$$

where:

ER_1 = emission rate for one item (g/(item*sec))
M = total mass (lb) of pollutant emitted per item (lb/item)
CV = conversion factor (453.59 g/lb)
t = release duration in seconds (References 1 and 8)

Example 1
Sample Calculation Using Equation 1*:

$$ER_1 = \frac{(1.34E-01)(453.59)}{(64)}$$

= 9.449E-01 g/(s*item)

Calculation for TSP. Averaged adjusted emission factor of total suspended solids (TSP) in lb/item was obtained from Appendix B.

(b) The pollutant emission rate for an event (ER_{EV}) for each pollutant was calculated using the estimated number of potential items used in a training event according to the following equation:

$$ER_{EV} = ER_1 \cdot I \qquad \text{Equation 2}$$

where:

ER_{EV} = emission rate for the estimated number of potential items used in a training event (g/s)
ER_1 = emission rate for one item (g/(item*sec))
I = items per event (item/event)

Example 2
Sample Calculation Using Equation 2*:

$$ER_{EV} = (9.449E-01)(1)$$

= 9.449E-01 g/s

* Calculation for TSP

(c) Pollutant-specific ambient concentrations for an event (CONC) were calculated using the following equation:

$$CONC = ER_{EV} \cdot \frac{UC}{ER_{unit}} \qquad \text{Equation 3}$$

where:

CONC = pollutant concentration based on the number of items used in a training event (g/m^3)
ER_{EV} = emission rate for the estimated number of items used in a training event (g/s)
ER_{unit} = unit emission rate as used in the model (g/sec)
UC = concentration based on the unit emission rate (g/m^3)

Example 3
Sample Calculation Using Equation 3*:

$$CONC = (9.449E-01)\frac{(2.978E-04)}{(1)}$$

= 2.829E-04 g/m^3

* Calculation for TSP

c. EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT

(1) EXPOSURE ASSUMPTIONS

(a) Exposure assumptions were selected using a typical use scenario for the M195. This use scenario was developed based on consultation with the U.S. Army Environmental Center's (AEC) senior training advisor (References 9, 10). The frequency of use of the M195 was required to determine how much substance an offsite resident would be exposed to in the time period of interest (i.e., acute or chronic exposure). For the purposes of this study, a training scenario is defined as a day or session of training whereas a training event is defined as a single use of pyrotechnics. A training scenario may consist of multiple training events. Table 3 summarizes the specific assumptions used to determine how often the M195 is used during a training scenario.

TABLE 3: FREQUENCY OF USE FOR THE M195

Parameter	Value Used
Number of items used per training scenario	2
Number of items used per training event	1
Number of training events per scenario	2
Time between events	12 hours
Number of scenarios per year	5

(b) To estimate the air emissions, it was assumed that one M195 was activated. The puff that resulted from this event was modeled to a point 100 meters downwind. Since the unit emission rate was calculated using a runtime of 400 seconds, each event was also assumed to last 400 seconds (or 6.67 minutes).

(2) TIME-AVERAGING

For the chronic assessment, time-averaged concentrations were calculated using the EPA's default residential exposure duration of 30 years (this value assumes that the resident spends 30 years at the same residence). This was done to derive concentrations that would be consistent with the exposure duration used by the EPA so that estimated substance concentrations could be compared to their respective health-based screening levels.

In this evaluation, training scenarios occur 5 times a year (References 9, 10). Using the default residence time established by the EPA, the assumption was made that someone could be exposed to 5 training scenarios per year for 30 years.

(a) The daily averaged concentrations were calculated using Equation 4. An example calculation using benzene is included in Example 4. It should be

noted that the average modeled concentration was converted from g/m^3 to µg/m^3 before it was used in Equation 4.

$$C_d = \frac{CONC \cdot ET \cdot EF_{day}}{1440} \qquad \text{Equation 4}$$

where:

C_d = average daily concentration (µg/m^3)
$CONC$ = average modeled concentration (µg/m^3)
ET = exposure time (minutes/event)
EF_{day} = exposure frequency (events/day)
1440 = unit conversion from minutes to day

Example 4
Sample Calculation Using Equation 4:

$$C_{d(benzene)} = \frac{(2.80E-02)(6.667)(2)}{1440}$$

= 2.593E-04 µg/m^3

The averaged modeled concentration (CONC) for benzene was obtained from Appendix B. The highest CONC was used for the calculation. The exposure parameters were obtained from Table 4.

(b) Chronic averaged concentrations were calculated using Equation 5. The resulting concentration (C_d) from Equation 4 was used in Equation 5 to determine the averaged chronic concentrations. Example 5 shows how this calculation was performed.

$$C_{chronic} = \frac{C_d \cdot EF_{year} \cdot ED}{AT} \qquad \text{Equation 5}$$

where:

$C_{chronic}$ = average chronic concentration (µg/m^3)
C_d = average daily concentration (µg/m^3)
EF_{year} = exposure frequency (days/year)
ED = exposure duration (years)
AT = averaging time (days)
(for carcinogenic endpoint, AT = 70 years x 365 days;
noncarcinogenic endpoint, AT = ED x 365 days)

Example 5
Sample Calculation Using Equation 5:

$$C_{chronic(benzene)} = \frac{(2.593E-04)(5)(30)}{(70)(365)}$$

$$= 1.522E\text{-}06\ \mu g/m^3$$

Averaged modeled concentration was calculated as shown in Example 4. The exposure parameters were obtained from Table 4. In this example, the averaging time for benzene is based on the carcinogenic endpoint.

(c) This study assumed that the same person would be exposed 5 days every year for 30 years. Since the air model was run for one item, and from Table 3, two items could potentially be used per training scenario, the number of events per day (EF_{day}) was two. Table 3 lists the exposure parameters used in Equations 4 and 5.

TABLE 4: EXPOSURE PARAMETERS USED TO DETERMINE TIME-AVERAGED CHRONIC AIR CONCENTRATIONS

Exposure Parameter	Value Used
Exposure Time (ET)	6.67 minutes/event
Exposure Frequency (EF_{day})	2 events/day
Exposure Frequency (EF_{year})	5 days/year
Exposure Duration (ED)	30 years

(d) Unlike the chronic evaluation, guidance for evaluating acute exposures is not currently available. Due to the nature of the use of pyrotechnics and short duration of the concentration plume, acute exposures cannot be overlooked. For the purpose of this study, acute exposure is defined as a 1-hour or 15 minute exposure. The 1-hour or 15 minute acute exposure averaging times allow for comparison with guidelines developed specifically for emergency planning purposes (see discussion on acute toxicity below). This is a conservative assumption since the air model indicated that the resident is not expected to be exposed for more than 7 minutes to the concentration plume following activation of the M195.

(e) The average acute concentrations were computed using Equation 6. The exposure frequency is based on the number of events per 1-hour or 15

minutes depending on the guideline used for comparison. Example 6 contains a sample calculation of this equation.

$$C_{acute} = \frac{CONC \cdot ET \cdot EF_{hour}}{60} \qquad \text{Equation 6}$$

where:

C_{acute} = average acute concentration (µg/m^3)
CONC = average modeled concentration (µg/m^3)
ET = exposure time (minutes/event)
EF_{hour} = exposure frequency (events/hour)
60 = unit conversion, 60 minutes/hour

Example 6
Sample Calculation Using Equation 6:

$$C_{acute(benzene)} = \frac{(2.80E-02)(6.667)(1)}{60}$$

= 3.111E-03 µg/m^3

The average modeled concentration (CONC) for benzene was obtained from Appendix B. The highest CONC was used. Since the acute toxicity value for benzene is based on a 1-hour exposure duration (ERPG), the acute concentration was averaged over 1 hour so that C_{acute} can be compared with its toxicity value.

d. TOXICITY ASSESSMENT

The potential for health risks was determined by comparing time-averaged air concentrations to health-based screening levels, which are developed from a substance's known toxicity. These toxicity values typically include different levels of safety factors depending on the level of confidence of the critical study. Appendix C contains a table of screening values used for the chronic and acute evaluations.

If the time-averaged air concentrations are below these screening levels, they are considered safe for everyone, including sensitive people such as the sick, elderly, and children. If the average modeled concentrations were greater than these screening levels, further analysis would be warranted. It should be noted that concentrations greater than the screening level do not indicate an onset of health effects, but rather the potential for such.

(1) CHRONIC ASSESSMENT

(a) The chronic assessment was evaluated using a screening approach. This approach is conservative because the exposure assumptions used by the EPA, to establish health-based screening levels, assume that the resident is exposed for 350 days per year (assuming 2 weeks vacation per year). Since the training scenarios, in which the M195 is used, are not expected to exceed 5 days per year, health-based screening levels specific to this study (if they were developed) would likely be higher.

(b) Health-based screening levels were obtained from the EPA, primarily from Region 3 and Region 9 (References 11, 12). To ensure that the most recent information was used, the Internet sites of both regions were checked. Although the general approach used by both Region 3 and Region 9 is the same, the exposure assumptions differ enough so that final recommended screening levels can vary to a certain degree. In both methods a substance's health-based screening level is selected using the toxicity endpoint that derives a lower concentration. For example, if a substance has a known systemic toxicity and is a carcinogen, concentrations were calculated using both toxicity information. The lower concentration was then selected as the recommended screening level to maintain a conservative approach.

(c) A hierarchy was developed in order to quantitatively evaluate for as many of the identified substances as possible. Since the methodology used by Region 9 results in lower health-based screening levels than Region 3, the Region 9 preliminary remediation goals (PRGs) were used first. Region 3's risk-based concentrations (RBCs) were only used when a PRG was not available. The only exception was for chromium (VI) [Cr (VI)] where Region 9 used a carcinogenic toxicity value that was seven times greater than EPA's recommended value to develop its screening level for inhalation exposure (Reference 13). Since EPA does not advocate the application of this multiplication factor, the RBC for Cr (VI) was used instead of the PRG.

(d) Some substances have neither PRGs nor RBCs because they have their own set of regulatory standards. Under the Clean Air Act, the EPA is required to establish National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) (Reference 14) for several substances considered harmful to public health and the environment. Currently, NAAQS are available for six substances, of which carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, lead, sulfur dioxide, and particulate matter < 10 micrometers (PM_{10}) have been detected in the M195 Bang Box study. The NAAQS for the longer averaging time were used for the chronic evaluation. Depending on the substance, this can range from an 8-hour average to an annual average. In addition, since the majority of the measured total suspended particulates (TSP) were PM_{10} (Reference 4), the NAAQS for PM_{10} was used to evaluate the potential for health effects from exposure to TSP.

Example 7
Sample Calculation Comparing a Substance's Estimated Chronic Concentration to Its Health-Based Screening Level:

$$\frac{C_{chronic\,(benzene)}}{HBSL} = \frac{1.52E-06}{2.49E-01}$$

= 6.10E-06 <1

The health-based screening level used for benzene is a PRG. In this case, the resulting ratio is six orders of magnitude less than one, indicating further evaluation is not necessary.

(e) Many petroleum hydrocarbons were detected but do not have specific screening levels. Therefore, the approach recommended by the Total Petroleum Criteria Working Group (TPHCWG) (Reference 15) was adopted to evaluate petroleum hydrocarbon mixtures. Based on the working group's assessment of various hydrocarbons, they recommended that mixtures be separated according to a substance's number of carbons and its chemical class (i.e., aliphatic or aromatic[1]). Generally, as a substance's carbon number increases, its molecular weight increases and it is therefore, not a substance of concern via inhalation. The working group also concluded that aromatic hydrocarbons tend to be more toxic than aliphatic hydrocarbons (Reference 16).

(f) Table 5 tabulates the inhalation toxicity values used to evaluate exposure to petroleum mixtures. To be consistent with the methodology used in this study, the reference concentrations (RfCs) were converted to PRGs using Region 9 assumptions. The resulting PRGs are included in Table D-4 in Appendix D.

TABLE 5: SUMMARY OF RfCs USED FOR PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (Reference 15)

Carbon Range	Aromatic Inhalation RfC (mg/m^3)	Aliphatic Inhalation RfC (mg/m^3)
$C_5 - C_6$ $C_{>6} - C_8$		18.4
$C_{>7} - C_8$	0.4	
$C_{>8} - C_{10}$ $C_{>10} - C_{12}$ $C_{>12} - C_{16}$	0.2	1.0
$C_{>16} - C_{21}$ $C_{>21} - C_{35}$	NA	NA

NA = not applicable for high molecular weight TPHs ($C_{>16}$) because compounds in this carbon range are not volatile and therefore, inhalation is not a pathway of concern.

[1] Aliphatic hydrocarbons are hydrocarbons in which the carbon atoms are joined by single covalent bonds consisting of two shared electrons (e.g., butane). Aromatic hydrocarbons have ring structures (e.g., benzene) (Reference 21).

(2) ACUTE ASSESSMENT

(a) As previously indicated, an acceptable method for assessing acute health effects is not currently available. It was not until recently that EPA guidance addressed the need to evaluate acute health effects from inhalation (Reference 16). Even then, acute toxicity data for risk assessment purposes were not readily available. The EPA recognized this deficiency and spearheaded the National Advisory Committee for Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Hazardous Substances (NAC/AEGL Committee). However, AEGLs are currently available for only a handful of substances.

(b) To circumvent this problem, several state regulatory agencies have suggested that guidelines developed for emergency purposes be used in the interim. Although suggestions have been made to use occupational exposure limits (OELs) by applying additional safety factors (References 17, 18), OELs were not used in this study because they introduce even more uncertainty than the use of emergency guidelines. OELs are designed to protect the workplace environment and assume eight hours a day, 5 days a week exposures. By definition, these exposures are more chronic than acute.

(c) In comparison, emergency planning guidelines are more appropriate because they are typically developed exposures of 1-hour or less. In addition, safety factors may also have been included depending on the agency that develops these guidelines, so that the values would be protective of the general population.

(d) Emergency Response Planning Guidelines (ERPGs) published by the American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA) (Reference 19) and the Temporary Emergency Exposure Limits (TEELs) developed by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) (Reference 20) were used for this study; specifically the ERPG-1s and the TEEL-1s. Since TEEL-1s are intended for 15-minute exposures, air concentrations compared to TEELs were averaged over a 15-minute period as opposed to 1-hour in this assessment. The AIHA defines ERPG-1 as follows:

"The maximum concentration in air below which it is believed nearly all individuals could be exposed for up to one hour without experiencing other than mild transient adverse health effects or perceiving a clearly defined objectionable odor."

The DOE defines TEEL-1 as follows:

"The maximum concentration in air below which it is believed nearly all individuals could be exposed without experiencing other than mild transient adverse health effects or perceiving a clearly defined objectionable odor."

(e) For this study, ERPGs were selected prior to a substance's TEEL because they are vigorously reviewed before they are published whereas the TEELs are not. Example 8 shows a sample calculation of how a substance's estimated acute concentration is compared to its acute toxicity value.

Example 8
Sample Calculation of Comparing a Substance's Estimated Acute Concentration to Its Acute Toxicity Value:

$$\frac{C_{acute(benzene)}}{ATV} = \frac{3.11E-03}{1.56E+05}$$

= 1.99E-08 <1

The acute toxicity value available for benzene is an ERPG. In this example with benzene, the ratio is eight orders of magnitude below 1, indicating that further analysis is not necessary.

6. RISK CHARACTERIZATION

Appendix D presents results from the M195 risk characterization. Note that for some substances, two concentrations were reported because of different analytical test methods (as noted in bold). In those instances, the higher concentration was used.

a. CHRONIC HEALTH RISK

The outcome indicated that no chronic health risks are expected from breathing air emissions from the M195. Since all ratios were below 1, no further evaluation was needed.

b. ACUTE HEALTH RISK

For the acute analysis, all ratios were below 1, indicating there is no potential for acute health risks. Since all ratios for the acute evaluation were below one, no further assessment was needed.

c. SUBSTANCES WITH NO TOXICITY DATA

Some substances were not quantitatively evaluated because they do not have established toxicity data. By conducting a semi-qualitative comparison of the

concentrations of these substances to similar compounds with available toxicity data, it may be concluded that no potential for health effects would be expected from exposure to these substances.

d. FACT SHEET

A copy of the fact sheet submitted to AEC is included as Appendix E. The fact sheet uses the results from this study to summarize health concerns related to inhalation of M195 air emissions.

7. UNCERTAINTY DISCUSSION

The limitations inherent in modeling and the added conservatism of the evaluation contribute to the uncertainty of the study results. The risk assessment methodology typically includes safety factors that are embedded in the toxicity data to ensure adequate protection of the general population, particularly, susceptible individuals such as the sick, elderly, and children. Table 6 identifies areas of uncertainty associated with this assessment.

TABLE 6: TYPES OF UNCERTAINTY

Issue	Uncertainty	Direction of Effect
Modeling		
Modeled versus real-time sampling	The air concentrations in this study were modeled. Actual air concentrations taken from the field may be higher or lower.	Varies
Frequency of use for the M195	Actual frequency of use of M195s during a training event may be different from those stated in this report.	Varies
Hypothetical resident assumed to be located directly downwind	Unless the area around the training facility is populated, the chances that a person living directly downwind is low.	Overestimates
Use of worst-case meteorological conditions	To ensure that this study is applicable to most training areas, worst-case meteorological conditions were used in the air model.	Overestimates
Exposure Assessment		
Estimating time-averaged concentrations	Actual exposure from the M195 is intermittent. If one were to plot a person's exposure profile, the plot would consist of a series of spikes. Since current risk assessment methodology does not allow the evaluation of the potential for health risks as a function of time, a single concentration, averaged over the	Varies

TABLE 6: TYPES OF UNCERTAINTY

Issue	Uncertainty	Direction of Effect
	exposure duration was used. In this study, the exposure durations used were 30 years and 1-hour or 15 minutes.	
Chromium speciation	All chromium was assumed to be present as Cr(VI), which is more toxic than Cr(III).	Overestimates
Comparing estimated concentration to established screening levels	The Region 3 and Region 9 health-based screening levels were developed using different exposure assumptions than those in this study, resulting in more conservative screening levels.	Overestimates
Screening assessment versus calculating an average daily intake	Calculating an average daily intake allows the use of scenario-specific assumptions. However, unless the ratio of concentration to screening level approaches one, a screening assessment is useful as a first-cut evaluation.	Varies
Exposure to other munitions	Other munitions are typically used during the same training event. These items may contain similar or different substances from those detected in the M195.	Underestimates
Toxicity Assessment		
Lack of toxicity data	Some substances were not quantitatively evaluated because they have no known toxicity data.	Underestimates
Modifying and uncertainty factors for toxicity data	Modifying factors and uncertainty factors of varying degree are typically applied to toxicological values. These factors are used to conservatively account for extrapolating from animal studies for human health evaluation, and to conservatively account for variation in human populations.	Overestimates

8. CONCLUSION

Results indicated that residents who live as close as 100 meters directly downwind from training areas are safe from breathing air emissions from the M195. It is believed that the assumptions contained in this analysis are conservative enough to be protective of all the population including the sick, elderly, and children.

9. RECOMMENDATIONS

Since the results from this study are intended for a hypothetical training facility, they can vary depending on site-specific conditions. However, because of the conservative assumptions used (e.g., worst-case meteorological conditions, receptor located directly downwind, etc.) it is believed that most site-specific analyses would result in even lower concentrations. Therefore, the results from this evaluation should be applicable to most training facilities unless site-specific conditions vary significantly.

10. POINT OF CONTACT

Questions about this report should be directed to Ms. Hsieng-Ye Chang at (800) 222-9698 (ext 2953) or (410) 436-2953.

PREPARED BY:

HSIENG-YE CHANG, P.E.
Environmental Engineer
Environmental Health Risk Assessment
and Risk Communication Program

STAFFORD D.F.R. COAKLEY
Environmental Engineer
Ambient Air Quality Management Program

JEFFREY S. GROW, P.E.
Supervisory Environmental Engineer
Ambient Air Quality Management Program

APPROVED BY:

DENNIS E. DRUCK
Acting Program Manager
Environmental Health Risk Assessment
and Risk Communication

JAMES D. WOOD, P.E.
For Program Manager
Ambient Air Quality Management

APPENDIX A

REFERENCES

1. U.S. Army (1994). *Technical Manual, Army Ammunition Data Sheets: Military Pyrotechnics* (Federal Supply Class 1370). TM-43-0001-37.

2. U.S. Army (date unknown). *Chapter 5: Ground Pyrotechnic Signals.* http://155.217.58.58/cgi-bin/atdl.dll/fm/23-30/Ch5.htm.

3. U.S. Army (1991). *Operator's Manual, Pyrotechnic Signals.* TM 9-1370-206-10.

4. U.S. Army (1999). *Sampling Results for AEC Phase I Training Ordnance Emission Characterization*, Volume I-Summary Report. Prepared by Radian International LLC, Oak Ridge, TN, for U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground, Dugway, UT.

5. Bowman Environmental, Inc. (1999). *INPUFF2, Multiple Source Integrated Puff Model*, Version 4.1.

6. USACHPPM (April 2000). *Draft Ambient Air Quality Consultation NO. 43-EL-1485-00 Air Dispersion Modeling Evaluation For Military Munitions*, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD.

7. Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 68 (40 CFR 68), Chemical Accident Prevention Provisions, 1 July 1998.

8. U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command Digital Library, http://www.adtdl.army.mil/

9. U.S. Army (1999). Personal communication between Mr. Tony Pitrat, USACHPPM, and Ms. Tamera Clark-Rush, AEC. July 1999.

10. Army Training Evaluation Protocol (ARTEP) 7-20-MTP, *Mission Training Plan for the Infantry Battalion.*

11. EPA (April 1999). *Region 3 Risk Based Concentration (RBC) Tables.* Available online at www.epa.gov/reghwmd/risk/riskmenu.htm

12. EPA (Oct. 1999). *Region 9 Preliminary Remediation Goals (PRG).* Available online at www.epa.gov/region09/waste/sfund/prg/index.html

13. EPA (1999). *Integrated Risk Information System.* Available online at http://www.epa.gov/iris/

14. EPA. *National Ambient Air Quality Standards.* Available online at http://www.epa.gov/ airprogm/airs/criteria.html

15. Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon Criteria Working Group (1997). *Development of Fraction Specific Reference Doses (RfDs) and Reference Concentrations (RfCs) for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH)*, Volume 4. Amherst Scientific Publishers. Amherst, MA.

16. EPA (1998). *Human Health Risk Assessment Protocol for Hazardous Waste Combustion Facilities*, Volumes I-III. EPA530-D-98-001A-C.

17. U.S. Army (1996). *Final Screening Risk Assessment for the Anniston Chemical Agent Disposal Facility at the Anniston Army Depot, Alabama*. Revision No. 5. Prepared by the U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine for the Program Manager for Chemical Demilitarization. Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland.

18. U.S. Army (1997). *Final Screening Risk Assessment for the Pine Bluff Chemical Agent Disposal Facility at the Pine Bluff Arsenal, Arkansas*. Revision No. 1. Prepared by the U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine for the Program Manager for Chemical Demilitarization. Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland.

19. American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA). *Emergency Response Planning Guidelines*. AIHA Press, Fairfax, VA.

20. Department of Energy (1998). *Temporary Emergency Exposure Limits*, Revision 15. http://www.scapa.bnl.gov.

21. Manahan, Stanley (1994). *Environmental Chemistry*. Sixth edition. CRC Press, Inc. Boca Raton, FL.

APPENDIX B

AIR DISPERSION MODELING OUTPUT DATA

Table B-1: Air Modeling Output Data for Metals, Particulates, and Miscellaneous Compounds

	Green Parachute Signal Flare Average NEW, lb = 0.32 Average Number of Items = 1				Items per event (I): release duration (t): Unit Concentration (UC):	1 item/event 64 seconds 2.978E-04 g/m^3/(g/s)		
Compound	Measured Actual Concentration (mg/m^3)	Measured Background Concentration (mg/m^3)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/lb NEW)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/item)	Total Mass of Pollutant Emitted (grams/item) (M)	Pollutant Concentration 1 Item ($grams/m^3$) (CONC)	Pollutant Emission Rate (g/sec)/item ER_1	* Event Pollutant Emission Rate 1 Item (g/sec) ER_{EV}
Particulate								
TSP	5.503E+01	3.893E-02	4.241E-01	1.340E-01	6.079E+01	**2.829E-04**	9.499E-01	9.499E-01
PM_{10}	4.859E+01	9.455E-02	3.581E-01	1.132E-01	5.133E+01	**2.389E-04**	8.021E-01	8.021E-01
HCl/Cl_2								
HCl (a)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Cl_2 (a)	1.574E-02	1.380E-02	1.528E-05	4.830E-06	2.191E-03	**1.019E-08**	3.423E-05	3.423E-05
Dioxin/Furan								
Dioxin TEQ (b)	1.380E-09	1.512E-10	9.683E-12	3.060E-12	1.388E-09	**6.458E-15**	2.169E-11	2.169E-11
CEM System								
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	3.939E+00	5.106E-01	2.643E-02	8.350E-03	3.788E+00	**1.762E-05**	5.918E-02	5.918E-02
Nitrogen Oxide (NOx)	9.963E-01	1.313E-01	6.668E-03	2.107E-03	9.557E-01	**4.447E-06**	1.493E-02	1.493E-02
HCl (a)	-3.659E-01	NM (d)	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Carbon Dioxide (CO_2)	7.370E+02	7.034E+02	2.589E-01	8.182E-02	3.711E+01	**1.727E-04**	5.799E-01	5.799E-01
Sulfur Dioxide (SO_2)	7.964E-04	NM (d)	2.035E-04	6.430E-05	2.917E-02	**1.357E-07**	4.557E-04	4.557E-04
Particulate-phase Metals								
Aluminum	3.827E-02	NM (c)	2.952E-04	9.328E-05	4.231E-02	**1.969E-07**	6.611E-04	6.611E-04
Antimony	4.842E-04	NM (c)	3.735E-06	1.180E-06	5.353E-04	**2.491E-09**	8.364E-06	8.364E-06
Arsenic	ND	NM (c)	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Barium	3.535E+00	NM (c)	2.727E-02	8.617E-03	3.909E+00	**1.819E-05**	6.107E-02	6.107E-02
Beryllium	6.674E-06	NM (c)	5.148E-08	1.627E-08	7.379E-06	**3.433E-11**	1.153E-07	1.153E-07
Cadmium	4.751E-04	NM (c)	3.664E-06	1.158E-06	5.252E-04	**2.444E-09**	8.206E-06	8.206E-06
Chromium	2.984E-03	NM (c)	2.302E-05	7.273E-06	3.299E-03	**1.535E-08**	5.155E-05	5.155E-05
Cobalt	1.492E-03	NM (c)	1.151E-05	3.637E-06	1.650E-03	**7.676E-09**	2.578E-05	2.578E-05
Copper	5.651E-03	NM (c)	4.359E-05	1.377E-05	6.248E-03	**2.907E-08**	9.762E-05	9.762E-05
Lead	1.887E-04	NM (c)	1.455E-06	4.599E-07	2.086E-04	**9.706E-10**	3.259E-06	3.259E-06
Magnesium	1.113E+01	NM (c)	8.587E-02	2.713E-02	1.231E+01	**5.727E-05**	1.923E-01	1.923E-01
Manganese	4.658E-03	NM (c)	3.593E-05	1.135E-05	5.150E-03	**2.396E-08**	8.047E-05	8.047E-05
Nickel	2.130E-04	NM (c)	1.643E-06	5.191E-07	2.355E-04	**1.096E-09**	3.679E-06	3.679E-06

Table B-1: Air Modeling Output Data for Metals, Particulates, and Miscellaneous Compounds

Compound	Measured Actual Concentration (mg/m^3)	Measured Background Concentration (mg/m^3)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/lb NEW)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/item)	Total Mass of Pollutant Emitted (grams/item) (M)	Pollutant Concentration 1 Item ($grams/m^3$) (CONC)	Pollutant Emission Rate (g/sec)/item ER_i	* Event Pollutant Emission Rate 1 Item (g/sec) ER_{EV}
Phosphorus	4.809E-03	NM (c)	3.710E-05	1.172E-05	5.317E-03	**2.474E-08**	8.308E-05	8.308E-05
Selenium	ND	NM (c)	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Silver	ND	NM (c)	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Thallium	ND	NM (c)	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Zinc	1.518E-03	NM (c)	1.171E-05	3.699E-06	1.678E-03	**7.807E-09**	2.622E-05	2.622E-05
Mercury	5.603E-06	NM (c)	4.321E-08	1.366E-08	6.194E-06	**2.882E-11**	9.678E-08	9.678E-08

Footnotes:
ND = Not Detected
NM = Not Measureable
a: HCl/Cl_2 levels were too low to be reliably measured.
b: Presence questionable - reported at similar levels in samples and blanks.
c: Insufficient material to analyze.
NEW: Net Explosive Weight

Table B-2: Air Modeling Output Data for Volatile Organic Compounds

	Green Parachute Signal Flare Average NEW, lb = 0.32 Average Number of Items = 1				Items per event (I): release duration (t): Unit Concentration (UC):	1 item/event 64 seconds 2.978E-04 g/m^3/(g/s)		
Compound (a)	Measured Actual Concentration (mg/m^3)	Measured Background Concentration (mg/m^3)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/lb NEW)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/item)	Total Mass of Pollutant Emitted (grams/item) M	Pollutant Concentration 1 Item ($grams/m^3$) CONC	Pollutant Emission Rate (g/sec)/item ER_1	* Event Pollutant Emission Rate 1 Item (g/sec) ER_{EV}
Total Nonmethane Hydrocarbons (TNMHC)								
TNMHC	1.380E-01	6.070E-02	5.537E-04	1.750E-04	7.936E-02	**3.693E-07**	1.240E-03	1.240E-03
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)								
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	8.817E-04	8.258E-04	4.005E-07	1.266E-07	5.741E-05	**2.671E-10**	8.971E-07	8.971E-07
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.097E-03	8.531E-04	1.747E-06	5.519E-07	2.504E-04	**1.165E-09**	3.912E-06	3.912E-06
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene & sec-Butylbenzene	1.150E-03	8.000E-04	2.509E-06	7.927E-07	3.596E-04	**1.673E-09**	5.618E-06	5.618E-06
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	4.500E-04	3.000E-04	1.075E-06	3.397E-07	1.541E-04	**7.171E-10**	2.408E-06	2.408E-06
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	3.447E-04	2.679E-04	5.511E-07	1.742E-07	7.899E-05	**3.676E-10**	1.234E-06	1.234E-06
1,3-Butadiene	1.750E-03	2.000E-04	1.111E-05	3.511E-06	1.592E-03	**7.410E-09**	2.488E-05	2.488E-05
1,3-Butadiene	1.780E-03	2.034E-04	1.130E-05	3.571E-06	1.620E-03	**7.537E-09**	2.531E-05	2.531E-05
1,4-Dioxane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
1-Butanol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
1-Butene	2.350E-03	2.000E-04	1.541E-05	4.870E-06	2.209E-03	**1.028E-08**	3.451E-05	3.451E-05
1-Hexene	3.500E-04	ND	2.509E-06	7.927E-07	3.596E-04	**1.673E-09**	5.618E-06	5.618E-06
1-Hydroxy-2-propanone	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
1-Pentene	4.000E-04	ND	2.867E-06	9.060E-07	4.109E-04	**1.912E-09**	6.421E-06	6.421E-06
1-Propanol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2,2,4-Trimethylhexane	1.000E-04	2.000E-04	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	4.100E-03	4.200E-03	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2,2-Dimethylbutane	2.000E-04	6.000E-04	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2,2-Dimethylheptane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2,2-Dimethylpropane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND

Table B-2: Air Modeling Output Data for Volatile Organic Compounds

Compound (a)	Measured Actual Concentration (mg/m^3)	Measured Background Concentration (mg/m^3)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/lb NEW)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/item)	Total Mass of Pollutant Emitted (grams/item) M	Pollutant Concentration 1 Item ($grams/m^3$) CONC	Pollutant Emission Rate (g/sec)/item ER_1	* Event Pollutant Emission Rate 1 Item (g/sec) ER_{EV}
2,3,4-Trimethylpentane	1.000E-03	1.000E-03	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2,3-Butanedione	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2,3-Dihydro-1-methyl-1H-indene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2,3-Dihydro-4-methyl-1H-indene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2,3-Dimethylbutane	6.500E-04	5.000E-04	1.075E-06	3.397E-07	1.541E-04	**7.171E-10**	2.408E-06	2.408E-06
2,3-Dimethylhexane	3.500E-04	4.000E-04	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2,3-Dimethylpentane	2.150E-03	2.100E-03	3.584E-07	1.132E-07	5.137E-05	**2.390E-10**	8.026E-07	8.026E-07
2,4,4-Trimethyl-1-pentene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2,4,4-Trimethyl-2-pentene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2,4-Dimethylhexane	5.000E-04	5.000E-04	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2,4-Dimethylpentane	1.100E-03	1.000E-03	7.168E-07	2.265E-07	1.027E-04	**4.780E-10**	1.605E-06	1.605E-06
2,5-Dimethylhexane	3.500E-04	4.000E-04	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2-Butanone	1.902E-03	9.694E-04	6.685E-06	2.112E-06	9.582E-04	**4.459E-09**	1.497E-05	1.497E-05
2-Butoxyethanol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2-Furaldehyde	3.859E-04	ND	2.766E-06	8.741E-07	3.965E-04	**1.845E-09**	6.195E-06	6.195E-06
2-Methyl-1,3-dioxolane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2-Methyl-1-butene	1.500E-04	ND	1.075E-06	3.397E-07	1.541E-04	**7.171E-10**	2.408E-06	2.408E-06
2-Methyl-1-pentene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2-Methyl-2-butene	1.000E-04	ND	7.168E-07	2.265E-07	1.027E-04	**4.780E-10**	1.605E-06	1.605E-06
2-Methyl-2-pentene	1.000E-04	ND	7.168E-07	2.265E-07	1.027E-04	**4.780E-10**	1.605E-06	1.605E-06
2-Methylfuran	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2-Methylheptane	4.500E-04	2.000E-04	1.792E-06	5.662E-07	2.568E-04	**1.195E-09**	4.013E-06	4.013E-06
2-Methylhexane	7.500E-04	7.000E-04	3.584E-07	1.132E-07	5.137E-05	**2.390E-10**	8.026E-07	8.026E-07
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2-Methylpentane	1.400E-03	1.900E-03	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2-Methylpropanal	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2-Methylpropanenitrile	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2-Nitrophenol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
2-Pentanone	6.079E-04	ND	4.357E-06	1.377E-06	6.245E-04	**2.906E-09**	9.759E-06	9.759E-06
2-Propanol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
3-Ethylhexane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
3-Methyl-1-butene	1.000E-04	ND	7.168E-07	2.265E-07	1.027E-04	**4.780E-10**	1.605E-06	1.605E-06
3-Methylhexane	7.500E-04	1.000E-03	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
3-Methylpentane	3.000E-04	1.000E-03	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND

Table B-2: Air Modeling Output Data for Volatile Organic Compounds

Compound (a)	Measured Actual Concentration (mg/m^3)	Measured Background Concentration (mg/m^3)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/lb NEW)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/item)	Total Mass of Pollutant Emitted (grams/item) M	Pollutant Concentration 1 Item ($grams/m^3$) CONC	Pollutant Emission Rate (g/sec)/item ER_1	* Event Pollutant Emission Rate 1 Item (g/sec) ER_{EV}
4-Methyl-1-pentene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
6-Methyl-5-hepten-2-one	ND	9.693E-04	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Acetic Acid	2.194E-03	1.131E-03	7.616E-06	2.407E-06	1.092E-03	**5.079E-09**	1.706E-05	1.706E-05
Acetone	1.064E-02	7.510E-03	2.242E-05	7.085E-06	3.214E-03	**1.495E-08**	5.021E-05	5.021E-05
Acetonitrile	5.775E-04	ND	4.139E-06	1.308E-06	5.933E-04	**2.761E-09**	9.271E-06	9.271E-06
Acetophonone	3.137E-04	ND	2.249E-06	7.106E-07	3.223E-04	**1.500E-09**	5.036E-06	5.036E-06
Acetylene	1.390E-02	2.800E-03	7.956E-05	2.514E-05	1.140E-02	**5.306E-08**	1.782E-04	1.782E-04
Acrolein	4.793E-04	ND	3.435E-06	1.086E-06	4.924E-04	**2.291E-09**	7.694E-06	7.694E-06
Acrylonitrile	5.531E-04	ND	3.965E-06	1.253E-06	5.683E-04	**2.644E-09**	8.879E-06	8.879E-06
Allylchloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
alpha-Pinene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Benzaldehyde	1.651E-03	7.646E-04	6.353E-06	2.008E-06	9.107E-04	**4.237E-09**	1.423E-05	1.423E-05
Benzene	8.050E-03	2.300E-03	4.121E-05	1.302E-05	5.907E-03	**2.749E-08**	9.230E-05	9.230E-05
Benzene	8.188E-03	2.339E-03	4.192E-05	1.325E-05	6.009E-03	**2.796E-08**	9.388E-05	9.388E-05
Benzofuran	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Benzonitrile	5.671E-04	ND	4.065E-06	1.285E-06	5.826E-04	**2.711E-09**	9.104E-06	9.104E-06
Benzylchloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
beta-Pinene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Butanal	4.578E-04	3.905E-04	4.826E-07	1.525E-07	6.917E-05	**3.219E-10**	1.081E-06	1.081E-06
Carbon Disulfide	9.840E-03	5.277E-04	6.675E-05	2.109E-05	9.567E-03	**4.452E-08**	1.495E-04	1.495E-04
Carbontetrachloride	8.360E-04	7.072E-04	9.234E-07	2.918E-07	1.324E-04	**6.159E-10**	2.068E-06	2.068E-06
Carbonyl Sulfide	2.988E-04	1.869E-04	8.021E-07	2.535E-07	1.150E-04	**5.350E-10**	1.796E-06	1.796E-06
Chlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Chloroethene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Chloroform	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
cis 1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
cis-2-Butene	3.000E-04	1.000E-04	1.434E-06	4.530E-07	2.055E-04	**9.561E-10**	3.211E-06	3.211E-06
cis-2-Hexene	1.000E-04	ND	7.168E-07	2.265E-07	1.027E-04	**4.780E-10**	1.605E-06	1.605E-06
cis-2-Pentene	2.000E-04	ND	1.434E-06	4.530E-07	2.055E-04	**9.561E-10**	3.211E-06	3.211E-06
cis-4-Methyl-2-pentene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Cyanogen	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Cyclohexane	5.000E-04	6.000E-04	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Cyclohexanone	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Cyclopentane	ND	1.000E-04	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Cyclopentanone	4.337E-04	ND	3.109E-06	9.824E-07	4.456E-04	**2.073E-09**	6.963E-06	6.963E-06

Table B-2: Air Modeling Output Data for Volatile Organic Compounds

Compound (a)	Measured Actual Concentration (mg/m^3)	Measured Background Concentration (mg/m^3)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/lb NEW)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/item)	Total Mass of Pollutant Emitted (grams/item) M	Pollutant Concentration 1 Item ($grams/m^3$) CONC	Pollutant Emission Rate (g/sec)/item ER_1	* Event Pollutant Emission Rate 1 Item (g/sec) ER_{EV}
Cyclopentene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Decanal	2.660E-03	1.007E-03	1.185E-05	3.745E-06	1.698E-03	**7.903E-09**	2.654E-05	2.654E-05
delta 3-Carene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Dichlorodifluoromethane	1.482E-03	1.130E-03	2.524E-06	7.976E-07	3.618E-04	**1.683E-09**	5.653E-06	5.653E-06
Dichlorotetrafluoroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Dimethyldisulfide	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
d-Limonene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
ETBE	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Ethane	5.000E-03	1.900E-03	2.222E-05	7.021E-06	3.185E-03	**1.482E-08**	4.976E-05	4.976E-05
Ethylbenzene	6.500E-04	5.000E-04	1.075E-06	3.397E-07	1.541E-04	**7.171E-10**	2.408E-06	2.408E-06
Ethylbenzene	9.979E-04	7.676E-04	1.651E-06	5.216E-07	2.366E-04	**1.101E-09**	3.697E-06	3.697E-06
Ethylchloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Ethylcyclohexane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Ethylene	2.605E-02	8.000E-04	1.810E-04	5.719E-05	2.594E-02	**1.207E-07**	4.053E-04	4.053E-04
Furan	3.231E-04	ND	2.316E-06	7.318E-07	3.319E-04	**1.545E-09**	5.186E-06	5.186E-06
Heptanal	6.667E-04	5.849E-04	5.861E-07	1.852E-07	8.400E-05	**3.909E-10**	1.313E-06	1.313E-06
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Hexanal	7.876E-04	7.147E-04	5.221E-07	1.650E-07	7.484E-05	**3.482E-10**	1.169E-06	1.169E-06
Hexanenitrile	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
i-Butane	4.000E-04	4.000E-04	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
i-Butene	9.500E-04	4.000E-04	3.942E-06	1.246E-06	5.651E-04	**2.629E-09**	8.829E-06	8.829E-06
Indane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
i-Pentane	1.500E-03	1.600E-03	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
i-Propylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Isoprene	1.000E-04	2.000E-04	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
m&p-Xylene	2.859E-03	2.390E-03	3.358E-06	1.061E-06	4.813E-04	**2.240E-09**	7.521E-06	7.521E-06
m-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Methacrolein	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Methyl Methacrylate	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Methylbromide	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Methylchloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Methylchloroform	3.897E-04	3.482E-04	2.975E-07	9.400E-08	4.264E-05	**1.984E-10**	6.662E-07	6.662E-07
Methylcyclohexane	4.500E-04	5.000E-04	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Methylcyclopentane	5.500E-04	6.000E-04	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Methylenechloride	5.547E-02	8.068E-04	3.918E-04	1.238E-04	5.616E-02	**2.613E-07**	8.774E-04	8.774E-04

Table B-2: Air Modeling Output Data for Volatile Organic Compounds

Compound (a)	Measured Actual Concentration (mg/m^3)	Measured Background Concentration (mg/m^3)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/lb NEW)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/item)	Total Mass of Pollutant Emitted (grams/item) M	Pollutant Concentration 1 Item ($grams/m^3$) CONC	Pollutant Emission Rate (g/sec)/item ER_1	* Event Pollutant Emission Rate 1 Item (g/sec) ER_{EV}
Methylnitrite	3.948E-04	ND	2.830E-06	8.941E-07	4.056E-04	**1.887E-09**	6.337E-06	6.337E-06
m-Ethyltoluene	3.500E-04	2.000E-04	1.075E-06	3.397E-07	1.541E-04	**7.171E-10**	2.408E-06	2.408E-06
Methyl-vinyl Ketone	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
MTBE	9.000E-04	7.000E-04	1.434E-06	4.530E-07	2.055E-04	**9.561E-10**	3.211E-06	3.211E-06
MTBE	1.008E-03	9.140E-04	6.708E-07	2.120E-07	9.615E-05	**4.474E-10**	1.502E-06	1.502E-06
m-Xylene & p-Xylene	2.850E-03	2.500E-03	2.509E-06	7.927E-07	3.596E-04	**1.673E-09**	5.618E-06	5.618E-06
Naphthalene	1.276E-03	4.078E-04	6.225E-06	1.967E-06	8.922E-04	**4.152E-09**	1.394E-05	1.394E-05
n-Butane	1.250E-03	1.100E-03	1.075E-06	3.397E-07	1.541E-04	**7.171E-10**	2.408E-06	2.408E-06
n-Decane	2.000E-04	1.000E-04	7.168E-07	2.265E-07	1.027E-04	**4.780E-10**	1.605E-06	1.605E-06
n-Heptane	7.000E-04	7.000E-04	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
n-Hexane	1.500E-03	1.600E-03	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Nitromethane	1.328E-03	ND	9.522E-06	3.009E-06	1.365E-03	**6.351E-09**	2.133E-05	2.133E-05
n-Nonane	1.500E-04	ND	1.075E-06	3.397E-07	1.541E-04	**7.171E-10**	2.408E-06	2.408E-06
n-Octane	3.000E-04	2.000E-04	7.168E-07	2.265E-07	1.027E-04	**4.780E-10**	1.605E-06	1.605E-06
Nonanal	2.434E-03	1.344E-03	7.819E-06	2.471E-06	1.121E-03	**5.215E-09**	1.751E-05	1.751E-05
n-Pentane	1.650E-03	1.600E-03	3.584E-07	1.132E-07	5.137E-05	**2.390E-10**	8.026E-07	8.026E-07
n-Propylbenzene	2.500E-04	2.000E-04	3.584E-07	1.132E-07	5.137E-05	**2.390E-10**	8.026E-07	8.026E-07
Octanal	1.515E-03	9.075E-04	4.356E-06	1.377E-06	6.244E-04	**2.906E-09**	9.757E-06	9.757E-06
o-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
o-Ethyltoluene	3.500E-04	2.000E-04	1.075E-06	3.397E-07	1.541E-04	**7.171E-10**	2.408E-06	2.408E-06
o-Xylene	1.050E-03	9.000E-04	1.075E-06	3.397E-07	1.541E-04	**7.171E-10**	2.408E-06	2.408E-06
o-Xylene	1.068E-03	9.154E-04	1.094E-06	3.456E-07	1.567E-04	**7.293E-10**	2.449E-06	2.449E-06
p-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Pentanal	1.427E-03	1.187E-03	1.723E-06	5.446E-07	2.470E-04	**1.149E-09**	3.860E-06	3.860E-06
Pentanenitrile	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Perchloroethylene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
p-Ethyltoluene	1.000E-03	6.000E-04	2.867E-06	9.060E-07	4.109E-04	**1.912E-09**	6.421E-06	6.421E-06
p-Ethyltoluene	5.413E-04	4.433E-04	7.024E-07	2.219E-07	1.007E-04	**4.684E-10**	1.573E-06	1.573E-06
Phenylacetylene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Propane	1.900E-03	1.400E-03	3.584E-06	1.132E-06	5.137E-04	**2.390E-09**	8.026E-06	8.026E-06
Propanenitrile	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Propene	7.650E-03	7.000E-04	4.982E-05	1.574E-05	7.140E-03	**3.322E-08**	1.116E-04	1.116E-04
Styrene	4.500E-04	5.000E-04	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Styrene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND

Table B-2: Air Modeling Output Data for Volatile Organic Compounds

Compound (a)	Measured Actual Concentration (mg/m^3)	Measured Background Concentration (mg/m^3)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/lb NEW)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/item)	Total Mass of Pollutant Emitted (grams/item) M	Pollutant Concentration 1 Item ($grams/m^3$) CONC	Pollutant Emission Rate (g/sec)/item ER_1	* Event Pollutant Emission Rate 1 Item (g/sec) ER_{EV}
Thiophene	3.457E-04	ND	2.478E-06	7.831E-07	3.552E-04	**1.653E-09**	5.550E-06	5.550E-06
Toluene	5.700E-03	5.000E-03	5.017E-06	1.585E-06	7.192E-04	**3.346E-09**	1.124E-05	1.124E-05
Toluene	5.798E-03	5.086E-03	5.103E-06	1.613E-06	7.315E-04	**3.404E-09**	1.143E-05	1.143E-05
trans 1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
trans-2-Butenal	1.774E-04	ND	1.271E-06	4.018E-07	1.822E-04	**8.480E-10**	2.848E-06	2.848E-06
trans-2-Butene	1.100E-03	3.000E-04	5.734E-06	1.812E-06	8.219E-04	**3.824E-09**	1.284E-05	1.284E-05
trans-2-Hexene	1.000E-04	ND	7.168E-07	2.265E-07	1.027E-04	**4.780E-10**	1.605E-06	1.605E-06
trans-2-Pentene	2.000E-04	ND	1.434E-06	4.530E-07	2.055E-04	**9.561E-10**	3.211E-06	3.211E-06
Trichloroethylene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND
Trichloromonofluoromethane	2.576E-03	2.533E-03	3.040E-07	9.606E-08	4.357E-05	**2.028E-10**	6.808E-07	6.808E-07
Vinylidenechloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	**ND**	ND	ND

Footnotes:

a: Items in bold represent duplicate values for those compounds that are common for Method TO-14 and TO-12.

NA: Not Applicable

ND:Not Detected

NEW: Net Explosive Weight

Table B-3: Air Modeling Output Data for Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds

	Green Parachute Signal Flare Average NEW, lb = 0.32 Average Number of Items = 1				Items per event (I): release duration (t): Unit Concentration (UC):	1 item/event 64 seconds 2.978E-04 g/m^3/(g/s)		
Compound	Measured Actual Concentration (mg/m^3)	Measured Background Concentration (mg/m^3)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/lb NEW)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/item)	Total Mass of Pollutant Emitted (grams/item) M	Pollutant Concentration 1 Item ($grams/m^3$) CONC	Pollutant Emission Rate (g/sec)/item ER_1	* Event Pollutant Emission Rate 1 Item (g/sec) ER_{EV}
Particulate/Vapor-phase SVOCs								
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,3-Dinitrobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-Naphthoquinone	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1-Naphthylamine	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,6-Dichlorophenol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-Acetylaminofluorene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-Chlorophenol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-Methylnaphthalene	2.645E-04	ND	2.085E-06	6.588E-07	2.988E-04	1.390E-09	4.669E-06	4.669E-06
2-Methylphenol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-Naphthylamine	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-Nitroaniline	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-Nitrophenol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-Picoline	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Table B-3: Air Modeling Output Data for Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds

Compound	Measured Actual Concentration (mg/m^3)	Measured Background Concentration (mg/m^3)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/lb NEW)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/item)	Total Mass of Pollutant Emitted (grams/item) M	Pollutant Concentration 1 Item ($grams/m^3$) CONC	Pollutant Emission Rate (g/sec)/item ER_1	* Event Pollutant Emission Rate 1 Item (g/sec) ER_{EV}
3-Methylcholanthrene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
3-Nitroaniline	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-Aminobiphenyl	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-Bromophenylphenyl ether	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-Chlorophenylphenyl ether	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-Methylphenol/3-Methylphenol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-Nitroaniline	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-Nitrophenol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-Nitroquinoline-1-oxide	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
5-Nitro-o-toluidine	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Acenaphthene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Acenaphthylene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Acetophenone	4.185E-04	2.708E-04	1.164E-06	3.679E-07	1.669E-04	7.766E-10	2.608E-06	2.608E-06
Aniline	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Anthracene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Benz(a)anthracene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Benz(a)pyrene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Benzidine	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Benzoic acid	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Benzyl alcohol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Butylbenzylphthalate	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Carbazole	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorobenzilate	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Table B-3: Air Modeling Output Data for Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds

Compound	Measured Actual Concentration (mg/m^3)	Measured Background Concentration (mg/m^3)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/lb NEW)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/item)	Total Mass of Pollutant Emitted (grams/item) M	Pollutant Concentration 1 Item ($grams/m^3$) CONC	Pollutant Emission Rate (g/sec)/item ER_1	* Event Pollutant Emission Rate 1 Item (g/sec) ER_{EV}
Chrysene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Diallate	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dibenzofuran	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Diethylphthalate	2.019E-03	3.475E-04	1.318E-05	4.164E-06	1.889E-03	8.788E-09	2.951E-05	2.951E-05
Dimethylphenethylamine	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dimethylphthalate	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Di-n-butylphthalate	9.726E-04	1.357E-03	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Di-n-octylphthalate	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Diphenylamine/N-NitrosoDPA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Ethyl methanesulfonate	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Fluoranthene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Fluorene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Hexachlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Hexachloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Hexachloropropene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Isophorone	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Isosafrole	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Kepone	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Methapyrilene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Methyl methanesulfonate	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Naphthalene	6.085E-04	2.995E-04	2.435E-06	7.694E-07	3.490E-04	1.624E-09	5.453E-06	5.453E-06
Nitrobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
N-Nitrosodiethylamine	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
N-Nitroso-di-n-butylamine	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
N-Nitrosomethylethylamine	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
N-Nitrosomorpholine	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
N-Nitrosopiperidine	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Table B-3: Air Modeling Output Data for Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds

Compound	Measured Actual Concentration (mg/m^3)	Measured Background Concentration (mg/m^3)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/lb NEW)	Average Adjusted Emission Factor (lb/item)	Total Mass of Pollutant Emitted (grams/item) M	Pollutant Concentration 1 Item ($grams/m^3$) CONC	Pollutant Emission Rate (g/sec)/item ER_1	* Event Pollutant Emission Rate 1 Item (g/sec) ER_{EV}
N-Nitrosopyrrolidine	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
o-Toluidine	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
p-Chloroaniline	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
p-Dimethylaminoazobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Pentachlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Pentachloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Pentachloronitrobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Pentachlorophenol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Phenacetin	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Phenanthrene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Phenol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Pronamide	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Pyrene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Pyridine	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Safrole	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
sym-Trinitrobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Footnotes:
ND: Not Detected

APPENDIX C

HEALTH-BASED SCREENING LEVELS AND ACUTE TOXICITY VALUES

Appendix C: Health-based Screening Levels and Acute Toxicity Values

		For the Chronic Evaluation (HBSL)					For the Acute Evaluation (ATV)			
Compound	CAS #	Region 9 PRG ($\mu g/m^3$)	Toxicity Endpoint (c or nc)	Region 9 RBC ($\mu g/m^3$)	Toxicity Endpoint (c or nc)	Health-based Screening Level ($\mu g/m^3$)	ERPG ($\mu g/m^3$)	TEEL ($\mu g/m^3$)	Source (T or E)	Acute Toxicity Value ($\mu g/m^3$)
TSP	12789-66-1	5.00E+01		NA		5.00E+01				0.00E+00
PM_{10}		5.00E+01		NA		5.00E+01				0.00E+00
HCl	7647-01-0	2.08E+01	nc	2.08E+01	nc	2.08E+01		7.14E+03	T	7.14E+03
Cl_2	7782-50-5	2.09E-01	nc	3.65E+02	nc	2.09E-01	2.89E+03	2.90E+03	E	2.89E+03
Dioxin TEQ	1746-01-6	4.48E-08	c	4.48E-08	c	4.48E-08		3.50E+00	T	3.50E+00
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	630-08-0	1.57E+02		NA		1.57E+02	2.30E+05	2.28E+05	E	2.30E+05
Nitrogen Oxide (NOx)	10024-97-2	1.00E+02		NA		1.00E+02		2.70E+05	T	2.70E+05
HCl (CEM System)	7647-01-0	2.08E+01	nc	2.08E+01	nc	2.08E+01		7.14E+03	T	7.14E+03
Carbon Dioxide (CO_2)	124-38-9	NA		NA		NA		5.40E+07	T	5.40E+07
Sulfur Dioxide (SO_2)	202-58-84	8.00E+01		NA		8.00E+01	7.89E+02	7.86E+02	E	7.89E+02
Aluminum	7429-90-5	NA		3.65E+00	nc	3.65E+00		3.00E+04	T	3.00E+04
Antimony	7440-36-0	NA		1.46E+00	nc	1.46E+00		1.50E+03	T	1.50E+03
Arsenic	7440-38-2	4.47E-04	c	4.15E-04	c	4.47E-04		3.00E+01	T	3.00E+01
Barium	7440-39-3	5.21E-01	nc	5.11E-01	nc	5.21E-01		1.50E+03	T	1.50E+03
Beryllium	7440-41-7	8.00E-04	c	7.45E-04	c	8.00E-04		5.00E+00	T	5.00E+00
Cadmium	7440-43-9	1.07E-03	c	9.94E-04	c	1.07E-03		3.00E+01	T	3.00E+01
Chromium	7440-43-9		c	1.53E-04	c	1.53E-04		1.50E+03	T	1.50E+03
Cobalt	7440-48-4	NA		2.20E+02	nc	2.20E+02		6.00E+01	T	6.00E+01
Copper	7440-50-8	NA		1.46E+02	nc	1.46E+02		3.00E+03	T	3.00E+03
Lead	7439-92-1	1.50E+00		NA		1.50E+00		1.50E+02	T	1.50E+02
Magnesium	7439-95-4	NA		NA		NA		3.00E+04	T	3.00E+04
Manganese	7439-96-5	5.11E-02	nc	5.22E-02	nc	5.11E-02		3.00E+03	T	3.00E+03
Nickel	7440-02-0	NA		7.30E+01	nc	7.30E+01		3.00E+03	T	3.00E+03
Phosphorus	7723-14-0	NA		NA		NA		3.00E+02	T	3.00E+02
Selenium	7782-49-2	NA		1.83E+01	nc	1.83E+01		6.00E+02	T	6.00E+02
Silver	7740-22-4	NA		1.83E+01	nc	1.83E+01				0.00E+00
Thallium	7440-28-0	NA		2.56E-01	nc	2.56E-01				0.00E+00
Zinc	7440-66-6	NA		1.10E+03	nc	1.10E+03		3.00E+04	T	3.00E+04
Mercury	7439-97-6	3.13E-01	nc	3.14E-01	nc	3.13E-01		1.00E+02	T	1.00E+02
TNMHC		NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	3.31E-02	c	3.13E-02	c	3.31E-02				0.00E+00
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	76-13-1	3.13E+04	nc	3.14E+04	nc	3.13E+04		9.58E+06	T	9.58E+06
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	1.20E-01	c	1.12E-01	c	1.20E-01				0.00E+00
1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	5.21E+02	nc	5.11E+02	nc	5.21E+02				0.00E+00
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	120-82-1	2.1E+02	nc	2.08E+02	nc	2.08E+02		3.71E+04	T	3.71E+04
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	95-63-6	6.21E+00	nc	6.21E+00	nc	6.21E+00		1.80E+05	T	1.80E+05

Appendix C: Health-based Screening Levels and Acute Toxicity Values

		For the Chronic Evaluation (HBSL)					For the Acute Evaluation (ATV)			
Compound	CAS #	Region 9 PRG ($\mu g/m^3$)	Toxicity Endpoint (c or nc)	Region 9 RBC ($\mu g/m^3$)	Toxicity Endpoint (c or nc)	Health-based Screening Level ($\mu g/m^3$)	ERPG ($\mu g/m^3$)	TEEL ($\mu g/m^3$)	Source (T or E)	Acute Toxicity Value ($\mu g/m^3$)
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene & sec-Butylbenzene	135-98-8	3.65E+01	nc	3.65E+01	nc	3.65E+01				0.00E+00
1,2-Dibromoethane	106-93-4	8.73E-03	c	8.24E-03	c	8.73E-03				0.00E+00
1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	7.39E-02	c	6.88E-02	c	7.39E-02				0.00E+00
1,2-Dichloroethene	540-59-0	NA		3.29E+01	nc	3.29E+01		2.38E+06	T	2.38E+06
1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	9.89E-02	c	9.21E-02	c	9.89E-02				0.00E+00
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	108-67-8	6.21E+00	nc	6.21E+00	nc	6.21E+00		3.68E+05	T	3.68E+05
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	108-67-8	6.21E+00	nc	6.21E+00	nc	6.21E+00		3.68E+05	T	3.68E+05
1,3-Butadiene	106-99-0	3.7E-03	c	3.48E-03	c	3.74E-03	2.20E+04	2.21E+04	E	2.20E+04
1,3-Butadiene	106-99-0	3.7E-03	c	3.48E-03	c	3.74E-03	2.20E+04	2.21E+04	E	2.20E+04
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	6.11E-01	c	9.13E+01	c	6.11E-01				0.00E+00
1-Butanol	71-36-3	3.65E+02	nc	3.65E+02	nc	3.65E+02				0.00E+00
1-Butene	106-98-9	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
1-Hexene	592-41-6	NA		NA		NA		1.03E+05	T	1.03E+05
1-Hydroxy-2-propanone	116-09-6	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
1-Methylnaphthalene	90-12-0	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
1-Pentene	109-67-1	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
1-Propanol	71-23-8	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2,2,4-Trimethylhexane	16747-25-5	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	540-84-1	NA		NA		NA		3.50E+05	T	3.50E+05
2,2-Dimethylbutane	75-83-2	NA		NA		NA		1.80E+06	T	1.80E+06
2,2-Dimethylheptane	1071-26-7	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2,2-Dimethylpropane	463-82-1	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2,3,4-Trimethylpentane	565-75-3	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2,3-Butanedione	431-03-8	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2,3-Dihydro-1-methyl-1H-indene	767-58-8	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2,3-Dihydro-4-methyl-1H-indene		NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2,3-Dimethylbutane	79-29-8	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2,3-Dimethylhexane	584-94-1	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2,3-Dimethylpentane	565-59-3	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2,4,4-Trimethyl-1-pentene	107-39-1	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2,4,4-Trimethyl-2-pentene	107-40-4	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2,4-Dimethylhexane	589-43-5	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2,4-Dimethylpentane	108-08-7	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2,5-Dimethylhexane	592-13-2	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2-Butanone	78-93-3	1.04E+03	nc	1.04E+03	nc	1.04E+03		8.85E+05	T	8.85E+05
2-Butoxyethanol	111-76-2	2.09E+01	nc	2.08E+01	nc	2.09E+01				0.00E+00
2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	104-76-7	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00

Appendix C: Health-based Screening Levels and Acute Toxicity Values

		For the Chronic Evaluation (HBSL)					For the Acute Evaluation (ATV)			
Compound	CAS #	Region 9 PRG ($\mu g/m^3$)	Toxicity Endpoint (c or nc)	Region 9 RBC ($\mu g/m^3$)	Toxicity Endpoint (c or nc)	Health-based Screening Level ($\mu g/m^3$)	ERPG ($\mu g/m^3$)	TEEL ($\mu g/m^3$)	Source (T or E)	Acute Toxicity Value ($\mu g/m^3$)
2-Furaldehyde	98-01-1	5.21E+01	nc	3.65E+01	nc	5.21E+01	8.00E+03		E	8.00E+03
2-Methyl-1,3-dioxolane	497-26-7	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2-Methyl-1-butene	563-46-2	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2-Methyl-1-pentene	763-29-1	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2-Methyl-2-butene	513-35-9	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2-Methyl-2-pentene	625-27-4	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2-Methylfuran	534-22-5	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2-Methylheptane	592-27-8	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2-Methylhexane	591-76-4	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2-Methylnaphthalene	91-57-6	NA		7.30E+01	nc	7.30E+01		2.00E+04	T	2.00E+04
2-Methylpentane	107-83-5	NA		NA		NA		1.80E+06	T	1.80E+06
2-Methylpropanal	78-84-2	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2-Methylpropanenitrile	78-82-0	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2-Nitrophenol	88-75-5	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2-Pentanone	107-87-9	NA		NA		NA		8.80E+05	T	8.80E+05
2-Propanol	67-63-0	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
3-Ethylhexane	619-99-8	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
3-Methyl-1-butene	563-45-1	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
3-Methylhexane	589-34-4	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
3-Methylpentane	96-14-0	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
4-Methyl-1-pentene	691-37-2	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
6-Methyl-5-hepten-2-one	101-99-0	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Acetic Acid	64-19-7	NA		NA		NA		3.68E+04	T	3.68E+04
Acetone	67-64-1	3.65E+02	nc	3.65E+02	nc	3.65E+02		2.37E+06	T	2.37E+06
Acetonitrile	75-05-8	6.2E+01	nc	6.21E+01	nc	6.21E+01		1.01E+05	T	1.01E+05
Acetophenone	98-86-2	2.08E-02	nc	2.08E-02	nc	2.08E-02		3.00E+04	T	3.00E+04
Acetylene	74-86-2	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Acrolein	107-02-8	2.09E-02	nc	2.08E-02	nc	2.09E-02	2.30E+02	2.29E+02	E	2.30E+02
Acrylonitrile	107-13-1	2.83E-02	c	2.61E-02	c	2.83E-02	2.20E+04		E	2.20E+04
Allylchloride	107-05-1	1.04E+00	nc	NA		1.04E+00				0.00E+00
alpha-Pinene	80-56-8	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Benzaldehyde	100-52-7	3.65E+02	nc	3.65E+02	nc	3.65E+02		1.50E+04	T	1.50E+04
Benzene	71-43-2	2.5E-01	c	2.16E-01	c	2.49E-01	1.56E+05	1.60E+05	E	1.56E+05
Benzene	71-43-2	2.5E-01	c	2.16E-01	c	2.49E-01	1.56E+05	1.60E+05	E	1.56E+05
Benzofuran	271-89-6	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Benzonitrile	100-47-0	NA		NA		NA		1.50E+04	T	1.50E+04
Benzylchloride	100-44-7	3.96E-02	c	3.68E-02	c	3.96E-02				0.00E+00

Appendix C: Health-based Screening Levels and Acute Toxicity Values

		For the Chronic Evaluation (HBSL)					For the Acute Evaluation (ATV)			
Compound	CAS #	Region 9 PRG ($\mu g/m^3$)	Toxicity Endpoint (c or nc)	Region 9 RBC ($\mu g/m^3$)	Toxicity Endpoint (c or nc)	Health-based Screening Level ($\mu g/m^3$)	ERPG ($\mu g/m^3$)	TEEL ($\mu g/m^3$)	Source (T or E)	Acute Toxicity Value ($\mu g/m^3$)
beta-Pinene	127-91-3	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Butanal	123-72-8	NA		NA		NA		7.38E+04	T	7.38E+04
Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	7.30E+02	nc	7.30E+02	nc	7.30E+02	3.10E+03	3.73E+04	E	3.10E+03
Carbontetrachloride	56-23-5	1.28E-01	c	1.18E-01	c	1.28E-01	1.28E+05		E	1.28E+05
Carbonyl Sulfide	463-58-1	NA		NA		NA		9.84E+03	T	9.84E+03
Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	6.2E+01	nc	6.21E+01	nc	6.21E+01				0.00E+00
Chloroethene	75-01-4	2.24E-02	c	2.09E-02	c	2.24E-02				0.00E+00
Chloroform	67-66-3	8.35E-02	c	7.73E-02	c	8.35E-02	2.48E+05		E	2.48E+05
cis 1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	10061-01-5	5.17E-02	c	4.82E-02	c	5.17E-02				0.00E+00
cis-2-Butene	590-18-1	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
cis-2-Hexene	7688-21-3	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
cis-2-Pentene	627-20-3	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
cis-4-Methyl-2-pentene	691-38-3	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Cyanogen	2074-87-5	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Cyclohexane	110-82-7	NA		NA		NA		3.10E+06	T	3.10E+06
Cyclohexanone	108-94-1	1.83E+04	nc	1.83E+04	nc	1.83E+04				0.00E+00
Cyclopentane	278-92-3	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Cyclopentanone	120-92-3	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Cyclopentene	142-29-0	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Decanal	112-31-2	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
delta 3-Carene	13466-78-9	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Dichlorodifluoromethane	75-71-8	2.09E+02	nc	1.83E+02	nc	2.09E+02		1.48E+07	T	1.48E+07
Dichlorotetrafluoroethane	1320-37-2	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Dimethyldisulfide	624-92-0	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
d-Limonene	5989-27-5	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
ETBE	637-92-3	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Ethane	74-84-0	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	1.06E+03	nc	1.06E+03	nc	1.06E+03		5.43E+05	T	5.43E+05
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	1.06E+03	nc	1.06E+03	nc	1.06E+03		5.43E+05	T	5.43E+05
Ethylchloride	75-00-3	2.3E+00	nc	NA		2.32E+00				0.00E+00
Ethylcyclohexane	1678-91-7	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Ethylene	74-85-1	NA		NA		NA		4.60E+05	T	4.60E+05
Furan	110-00-9	3.65E+00	nc	3.65E+00	nc	3.65E+00		1.67E+02	T	1.67E+02
Heptanal	111-71-7	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Hexachlorobutadiene	87-68-3	8.73E-02	c	8.03E-02	c	8.73E-02				0.00E+00
Hexanal	66-25-1	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Hexanenitrile	628-73-9	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00

Appendix C: Health-based Screening Levels and Acute Toxicity Values

		For the Chronic Evaluation (HBSL)					For the Acute Evaluation (ATV)			
Compound	CAS #	Region 9 PRG ($\mu g/m^3$)	Toxicity Endpoint (c or nc)	Region 9 RBC ($\mu g/m^3$)	Toxicity Endpoint (c or nc)	Health-based Screening Level ($\mu g/m^3$)	ERPG ($\mu g/m^3$)	TEEL ($\mu g/m^3$)	Source (T or E)	Acute Toxicity Value ($\mu g/m^3$)
i-Butane	75-28-5	NA		NA		NA		9.52E+05	T	9.52E+05
i-Butene	115-11-7	NA		NA		NA		6.87E+06	T	6.87E+06
Indane	496-11-7	NA		NA		NA		1.25E+05	T	1.25E+05
i-Pentane	78-78-4	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
i-Propylbenzene	98-82-8	4.02E+02	nc	4.02E+02	nc	4.02E+02				0.00E+00
Isoprene	78-79-5	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
m&p-Xylene	108-38-3 106-42-3	7.30E+02	nc	7.30E+03	nc	7.30E+02		6.51E+05	T	6.51E+05
m-Dichlorobenzene	541-73-1	3.3E+00	nc	3.29E+00	nc	3.29E+00				0.00E+00
Methacrolein	78-85-3	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Methyl Methacrylate	80-62-6	7.30E+02	nc	7.30E+02	nc	7.30E+02				0.00E+00
Methylbromide	74-83-9	5.21E+00	nc	5.11E+00	nc	5.21E+00				0.00E+00
Methylchloride	74-87-3	1.07E+00	c	1.79E+00	c	1.07E+00				0.00E+00
Methylchloroform	71-55-6	1.04E+03	nc	1.04E+03	nc	1.04E+03	1.94E+06		E	1.94E+06
Methylcyclohexane	108-87-2	3.13E+03	nc	3.14E+03	nc	3.13E+03		4.81E+06	T	4.81E+06
Methylcyclopentane	96-37-7	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Methylenechloride	75-09-2	4.09E+00	c	3.79E+00	c	4.09E+00	6.96E+05	6.94E+05	E	6.96E+05
Methylnitrite	624-91-9	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
m-Ethyltoluene	620-14-4	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Methyl-vinyl Ketone	78-94-4	NA		NA		NA		8.61E+01	T	8.61E+01
MTBE	1634-04-4	3.13E+03	nc	3.13E+03	nc	3.13E+03		4.32E+05	T	4.32E+05
MTBE	1634-04-4	3.13E+03	nc	3.13E+03	nc	3.13E+03		4.32E+05	T	4.32E+05
m-Xylene & p-Xylene	108-38-3 106-42-3	7.30E+02	nc	7.30E+03	nc	7.30E+02		6.51E+05	T	6.51E+05
Naphthalene	91-20-3	3.13E+00	nc	3.29E+00	nc	3.13E+00		7.86E+04	T	7.86E+04
n-Butane	106-97-8	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
n-Decane	124-18-5	NA		NA		NA		4.37E+03	T	4.37E+03
n-Heptane	142-82-5	NA		NA		NA		1.80E+06	T	1.80E+06
n-Hexane	110-54-3	2.09E+02	nc	2.08E+02	nc	2.09E+02		5.28E+05	T	5.28E+05
Nitromethane	75-52-5	NA		NA		NA		1.50E+05	T	1.50E+05
n-Nonane	111-84-2	NA		NA		NA		1.05E+06	T	1.05E+06
n-Octane	111-65-9	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Nonanal	124-19-6	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
n-Pentane	109-66-0	NA		NA		NA		1.80E+06	T	1.80E+06
n-Propylbenzene	103-65-1	3.65E+01	nc	NA		3.65E+01		3.68E+05	T	3.68E+05
Octanal	124-13-0	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
o-Dichlorobenzene	95-50-1	2.09E+02	nc	3.29E+01	nc	2.09E+02				0.00E+00
o-Ethyltoluene	611-14-3	NA		NA		NA		7.50E+02	T	7.50E+02

Appendix C: Health-based Screening Levels and Acute Toxicity Values

		For the Chronic Evaluation (HBSL)					For the Acute Evaluation (ATV)			
Compound	CAS #	Region 9 PRG ($\mu g/m^3$)	Toxicity Endpoint (c or nc)	Region 9 RBC ($\mu g/m^3$)	Toxicity Endpoint (c or nc)	Health-based Screening Level ($\mu g/m^3$)	ERPG ($\mu g/m^3$)	TEEL ($\mu g/m^3$)	Source (T or E)	Acute Toxicity Value ($\mu g/m^3$)
o-Xylene	95-47-6	7.30E+02	nc	7.30E+03	nc	7.30E+02		6.51E+05	T	6.51E+05
o-Xylene	95-47-6	7.30E+02	nc	7.30E+03	nc	7.30E+02		6.51E+05	T	6.51E+05
p-Dichlorobenzene	106-46-7	2.80E-01	c	2.85E-01	c	2.80E-01				0.00E+00
Pentanal	110-62-3	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Pentanenitrile	110-59-8	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Perchloroethylene	127-18-4	3.31E+00	c	3.13E+00	c	3.31E+00	6.89E+05	6.78E+05	E	6.89E+05
p-Ethyltoluene	622-96-8	NA		NA		NA		1.25E+05	T	1.25E+05
p-Ethyltoluene	622-96-8	NA		NA		NA		1.25E+05	T	1.25E+05
Phenylacetylene	536-74-3	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Propane	74-98-6	NA		NA		NA		3.78E+06	T	3.78E+06
Propanenitrile	107-12-0	NA		NA		NA		3.38E+04	T	3.38E+04
Propene	115-07-1	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Styrene	100-42-5	1.06E+03	nc	1.04E+03	nc	1.06E+03	2.13E+05	2.13E+05	E	2.13E+05
Styrene	100-42-5	1.06E+03	nc	1.04E+03	nc	1.06E+03	2.13E+05	2.13E+05	E	2.13E+05
Tetrahydrofuran	109-99-9	9.9E-01	nc	9.21E-01	c	9.89E-01				0.00E+00
Thiophene	110-02-1	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Toluene	108-88-3	4.02E+02	nc	4.16E+02	nc	4.02E+02	1.88E+05	1.89E+05	E	1.88E+05
Toluene	108-88-3	4.02E+02	nc	4.16E+02	nc	4.02E+02	1.88E+05	1.89E+05	E	1.88E+05
trans 1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	10061-02-6	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
trans-2-Butenal	123-73-9	3.54E-03	c	3.30E-03	c	3.54E-03				0.00E+00
trans-2-Butene	624-64-6	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
trans-2-Hexene	4050-45-7	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
trans-2-Pentene	646-04-8	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	1.12E+00	c	1.04E+00	c	1.12E+00				0.00E+00
Trichloromonofluoromethane	75-69-4	7.30E+02	nc	7.30E+02	nc	7.30E+02				0.00E+00
Vinylidenechloride	75-35-4	3.84E-02	c	3.58E-02	c	3.84E-02				0.00E+00
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	95-94-3	1.10E+00	nc	1.10E+00	nc	1.10E+00				0.00E+00
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	120-82-1	2.08E+02	nc	2.08E+02	nc	2.08E+02				0.00E+00
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	95-50-1	2.09E+02	nc	3.29E+01	nc	2.09E+02				0.00E+00
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	541-73-1	3.3E+00	nc	3.29E+00	nc	3.29E+00				0.00E+00
1,3-Dinitrobenzene	99-65-0	3.65E-01	nc	3.65E-01	nc	3.65E-01				0.00E+00
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	106-46-7	3.1E-01	c	2.85E-01	c	3.06E-01				0.00E+00
1,4-Naphthoquinone	130-15-4	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
1-Naphthylamine	134-32-7	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	58-90-2	1.10E+02	nc	1.10E+02	nc	1.10E+02				0.00E+00
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	95-95-4	3.65E+02	nc	3.65E+02	nc	3.65E+02				0.00E+00
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	88-06-2	6.20E-01	c	6.26E-01	c	6.20E-01				0.00E+00

Appendix C: Health-based Screening Levels and Acute Toxicity Values

		For the Chronic Evaluation (HBSL)					For the Acute Evaluation (ATV)			
Compound	CAS #	Region 9 PRG ($\mu g/m^3$)	Toxicity Endpoint (c or nc)	Region 9 RBC ($\mu g/m^3$)	Toxicity Endpoint (c or nc)	Health-based Screening Level ($\mu g/m^3$)	ERPG ($\mu g/m^3$)	TEEL ($\mu g/m^3$)	Source (T or E)	Acute Toxicity Value ($\mu g/m^3$)
2,4-Dichlorophenol	120-83-2	1.10E+01	nc	1.10E+01	nc	1.10E+01				0.00E+00
2,4-Dimethylphenol	105-67-9	7.30E+01	nc	7.30E+01	nc	7.30E+01				0.00E+00
2,4-Dinitrophenol	51-28-5	7.30E+00	nc	7.30E+00	nc	7.30E+00				0.00E+00
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	121-14-2	7.30E+00	nc	7.30E+00	nc	7.30E+00				0.00E+00
2,6-Dichlorophenol	87-65-0	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	606-20-2	3.7E+00	nc	3.65E+00	nc	3.65E+00				0.00E+00
2-Acetylaminofluorene	53-96-3	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2-Chloronaphthalene	91-58-7	2.92E+02	nc	2.92E+02	nc	2.92E+02				0.00E+00
2-Chlorophenol	95-57-8	1.83E+01	nc	1.83E+01	nc	1.83E+01				0.00E+00
2-Methylnaphthalene	91-57-6	NA		7.30E+01	nc	7.30E+01		2.00E+04	T	2.00E+04
2-Methylphenol	95-48-7	1.83E+02	nc	1.83E+02	nc	1.83E+02				0.00E+00
2-Naphthylamine	91-59-8	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2-Nitroaniline	88-74-4	2.09E-01	nc	2.08E-01	nc	2.09E-01				0.00E+00
2-Nitrophenol	88-75-5	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
2-Picoline	109-06-8	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	91-94-1	1.49E-02	c	1.39E-02	c	1.49E-02				0.00E+00
3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine	119-93-7	7.31E-04	c	6.81E-04	c	7.31E-04				0.00E+00
3-Methylcholanthrene	56-49-5	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
3-Nitroaniline	99-09-2	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	534-52-1	NA		3.65E-01	nc	3.65E-01				0.00E+00
4-Aminobiphenyl	92-67-1	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
4-Bromophenylphenyl ether	101-55-3	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	35421-08-8	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
4-Chlorophenylphenyl ether	7005-72-3	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
4-Methylphenol/3-Methylphenol	106-44-5	1.83E+01	nc	1.83E+01	nc	1.83E+01				0.00E+00
4-Nitroaniline	100-01-6	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
4-Nitrophenol	100-02-7	2.9E+01	nc	2.92E+01	nc	2.92E+01				0.00E+00
4-Nitroquinoline-1-oxide	56-57-5	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
5-Nitro-o-toluidine	99-52-5	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene	57-97-6	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Acenaphthene	83-32-9	2.19E+02	nc	2.19E+02	nc	2.19E+02				0.00E+00
Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	NA		NA		NA		2.00E+02	T	2.00E+02
Acetophenone	98-86-2	2.08E-02	nc	2.08E-02	nc	2.08E-02		3.00E+04	T	3.00E+04
Aniline	62-53-3	1.04E+00	nc	1.06E+00	nc	1.04E+00		2.29E+04	T	2.29E+04
Anthracene	120-12-7	1.10E+03	nc	1.10E+03	nc	1.10E+03				0.00E+00
Benz(a)anthracene	56-55-3	2.17E-02	c	8.58E-03	c	2.17E-02				0.00E+00
Benz(a)pyrene	50-32-8	2.17E-03	c	2.02E-03	c	2.17E-03				0.00E+00

Appendix C: Health-based Screening Levels and Acute Toxicity Values

		For the Chronic Evaluation (HBSL)					For the Acute Evaluation (ATV)			
Compound	CAS #	Region 9 PRG ($\mu g/m^3$)	Toxicity Endpoint (c or nc)	Region 9 RBC ($\mu g/m^3$)	Toxicity Endpoint (c or nc)	Health-based Screening Level ($\mu g/m^3$)	ERPG ($\mu g/m^3$)	TEEL ($\mu g/m^3$)	Source (T or E)	Acute Toxicity Value ($\mu g/m^3$)
Benzidine	92-87-5	2.92E-05	c	2.72E-05	c	2.92E-05				0.00E+00
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	205-99-2	2.17E-02	c	8.58E-03	c	2.17E-02				0.00E+00
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	191-24-2	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	207-08-9	2.17E-01	c	8.58E-02	c	2.17E-01				0.00E+00
Benzoic acid	65-85-0	1.46E+04	nc	1.46E+04	nc	1.46E+04				0.00E+00
Benzyl alcohol	100-51-6	1.10E+03	nc	1.10E+03	nc	1.10E+03		5.53E+04	T	5.53E+04
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	111-91-1	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	111-44-4	5.82E-03	c	5.69E-03	c	5.82E-03				0.00E+00
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	108-60-1	1.92E-01	c	1.79E-01	c	1.92E-01				0.00E+00
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	117-81-7	4.80E-01	c	4.47E-01	c	4.80E-01				0.00E+00
Butylbenzylphthalate	85-68-7	7.30E+02	nc	7.30E+02	nc	7.30E+02		5.00E+05	T	5.00E+05
Carbazole	86-74-8	3.36E-01	c	3.13E-01	c	3.36E-01				0.00E+00
Chlorobenzilate	510-15-6	2.49E-02	c	2.32E-02	c	2.49E-02				0.00E+00
Chrysene	218-01-9	2.17E+00	c	8.58E-01	c	2.17E+00				0.00E+00
Diallate	2303-16-4	1.10E-01	c	NA		1.10E-01				0.00E+00
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	53-70-3	2.17E-03	c	8.58E-04	c	2.17E-03				0.00E+00
Dibenzofuran	132-64-9	1.46E+01	nc	1.46E+01	nc	1.46E+01				0.00E+00
Diethylphthalate	84-66-2	2.92E+03	nc	2.92E+03	nc	2.92E+03		1.50E+04	T	1.50E+04
Dimethylphenethylamine	122-09-8	3.65E+00	nc	NA		3.65E+00				0.00E+00
Dimethylphthalate	131-11-3	3.65E+04	nc	3.65E+04	nc	3.65E+04				0.00E+00
Di-n-butylphthalate	84-74-2	3.65E+02	nc	3.65E+02	nc	3.65E+02		1.50E+04	T	1.50E+04
Di-n-octylphthalate	117-84-0	7.30E+01	nc	7.30E+01	nc	7.30E+01		1.50E+05	T	1.50E+05
Diphenylamine/N-NitrosoDPA	122-39-4	9.13E+01	nc	9.13E+01	nc	9.13E+01				0.00E+00
Ethyl methanesulfonate	62-50-0	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Fluoranthene	206-44-0	1.46E+02	nc	1.46E+02	nc	1.46E+02				0.00E+00
Fluorene	86-73-7	1.46E+02	nc	1.46E+02	nc	1.46E+02		7.50E+04	T	7.50E+04
Hexachlorobenzene	118-74-1	4.18E-03	c	3.91E-03	c	4.18E-03				0.00E+00
Hexachlorobutadiene	87-68-3	8.6E-02	c	8.03E-02	c	8.62E-02				0.00E+00
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	77-47-4	7.30E-02	nc	7.30E-02	nc	7.30E-02				0.00E+00
Hexachloroethane	67-72-1	4.80E-01	c	4.47E-01	c	4.80E-01				0.00E+00
Hexachloropropene	1888-71-7	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	193-39-5	2.17E-02	c	8.58E-03	c	2.17E-02				0.00E+00
Isophorone	78-59-1	7.08E+00	c	6.59E+00	c	7.08E+00				0.00E+00
Isosafrole	120-58-1	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Kepone	143-50-0	3.74E-04	c	NA		3.74E-04				0.00E+00
Methapyrilene	91-80-5	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Methyl methanesulfonate	66-27-3	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00

Appendix C: Health-based Screening Levels and Acute Toxicity Values

		For the Chronic Evaluation (HBSL)					For the Acute Evaluation (ATV)			
Compound	CAS #	Region 9 PRG ($\mu g/m^3$)	Toxicity Endpoint (c or nc)	Region 9 RBC ($\mu g/m^3$)	Toxicity Endpoint (c or nc)	Health-based Screening Level ($\mu g/m^3$)	ERPG ($\mu g/m^3$)	TEEL ($\mu g/m^3$)	Source (T or E)	Acute Toxicity Value ($\mu g/m^3$)
Naphthalene	91-20-3	3.13E+00	nc	3.29E+00	nc	3.13E+00		7.86E+04	T	7.86E+04
Nitrobenzene	98-95-3	2.09E+00	nc	2.19E+00	nc	2.09E+00				0.00E+00
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	55-18-5	4.47E-05	c	4.17E-05	c	4.47E-05				0.00E+00
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	55-18-5	4.47E-05	c	4.17E-05	c	4.47E-05				0.00E+00
N-Nitroso-di-n-butylamine	924-16-3	1.2E-03	c	1.12E-03	c	1.20E-03				0.00E+00
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	621-64-7	9.61E-04	c	8.94E-04	c	9.61E-04				0.00E+00
N-Nitrosomethylethylamine	10595-95-6	3.06E-04	c	2.85E-04	c	3.06E-04				0.00E+00
N-Nitrosomorpholine	59-89-2	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
N-Nitrosopiperidine	100-75-4	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
N-Nitrosopyrrolidine	930-55-2	3.15E-03	c	NA		3.15E-03				0.00E+00
o-Toluidine	95-53-4	2.80E-02	c	NA		2.80E-02				0.00E+00
p-Chloroaniline	106-47-8	1.46E+01	nc	1.46E+01	nc	1.46E+01				0.00E+00
p-Dimethylaminoazobenzene	60-11-7	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Pentachlorobenzene	608-93-5	2.92E+00	nc	2.92E+00	nc	2.92E+00				0.00E+00
Pentachloroethane	76-01-7	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Pentachloronitrobenzene	82-68-8	2.59E-02	c	2.41E-02	c	2.59E-02				0.00E+00
Pentachlorophenol	87-86-5	5.60E-02	c	5.22E-02	c	5.60E-02				0.00E+00
Phenacetin	62-44-2	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Phenanthrene	85-01-8	NA		NA		NA		2.00E+03	T	2.00E+03
Phenol	108-95-2	2.19E+03	nc	2.19E+03	nc	2.19E+03				0.00E+00
Pronamide	23950-58-5	2.74E+02	nc	NA		2.74E+02				0.00E+00
Pyrene	129-00-1	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
Pyridine	110-86-1	3.65E+00	nc	3.65E+00	nc	3.65E+00				0.00E+00
Safrole	94-59-7	NA		NA		NA				0.00E+00
sym-Trinitrobenzene	99-35-4	1.10E+02	nc	1.10E+02	nc	1.10E+02				0.00E+00

Footnotes:
PRG: Preliminary Remediation Goals
c: Cancer
nc:non-cancer
RBC: Risk-Based Concentration
HBSL: Health-based Screening Level
(E) ERPG: Emergency Response Planning Guidelines
(T) TEEL: Temporary Emergency Exposure Limits
ATV: Acute Toxicity Value
NA: Not applicable

APPENDIX D

RISK EVALUATION DATA

Table D-1: Comparison of Air Concentrations With Health-Based Values: Metals, Particulates, and Miscellaneous Compunds

	Green Parachute Signal Flare							
Compound	$C_{chronic}$ (µg/m^3)	Health-Based Screening Level (µg/m^3)	$C_{chronic}$/ HBSL	> 1?	C_{acute} (µg/m^3)	Acute Toxicity Value (µg/m^3)	C_{acute}/ ATV	> 1?
TSP	3.59E-02	5.00E+01	7.18E-04	no	NA	NV		na
PM_{10}	3.03E-02	5.00E+01	6.06E-04	no	NA	NV		na
HCl (a)	NA	2.08E+01		na	NA	7.14E+03		na
Cl_2 (a)	1.29E-06	2.09E-01	6.20E-06	no	1.13E-03	2.89E+03	3.92E-07	no
Dioxin TEQ (b)	3.51E-13	4.48E-08	7.83E-06	no	2.87E-09	3.50E+00	8.20E-10	no
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	2.24E-03	1.57E+02	1.42E-05	no	1.96E+00	2.30E+05	8.51E-06	no
Nitrogen Oxide (NOx)	5.64E-04	1.00E+02	5.64E-06	no	1.98E+00	2.70E+05	7.32E-06	no
HCl (a)	NA	2.08E+01		na	NA	7.14E+03		na
Carbon Dioxide (CO_2)	2.19E-02	NV		na	7.68E+01	5.40E+07	1.42E-06	no
Sulfur Dioxide (SO_2)	1.72E-05	8.00E+01	2.15E-07	no	1.51E-02	7.89E+02	1.91E-05	no
Aluminum	2.50E-05	3.65E+00	6.84E-06	no	8.75E-02	3.00E+04	2.92E-06	no
Antimony	3.16E-07	1.46E+00	2.16E-07	no	1.11E-03	1.50E+03	7.38E-07	no
Arsenic	NA	4.47E-04		na	NA	3.00E+01		na
Barium	2.31E-03	5.21E-01	4.42E-03	no	8.08E+00	1.50E+03	5.39E-03	no
Beryllium	1.87E-15	8.00E-04	2.33E-12	no	1.53E-05	5.00E+00	3.05E-06	no
Cadmium	1.33E-07	1.07E-03	1.24E-04	no	1.09E-03	3.00E+01	3.62E-05	no
Chromium	8.34E-07	1.53E-04	5.46E-03	no	6.82E-03	1.50E+03	4.55E-06	no
Cobalt	9.74E-07	2.20E+02	4.43E-09	no	3.41E-03	6.00E+01	5.69E-05	no
Copper	3.69E-06	1.46E+02	2.53E-08	no	1.29E-02	3.00E+03	4.31E-06	no
Lead	1.23E-07	1.50E+00	8.21E-08	no	4.31E-04	1.50E+02	2.88E-06	no
Magnesium	7.26E-03	NV		na	2.55E+01	3.00E+04	8.48E-04	no
Manganese	3.04E-06	5.11E-02	5.95E-05	no	1.07E-02	3.00E+03	3.55E-06	no
Nickel	1.39E-07	7.30E+01	1.90E-09	no	4.87E-04	3.00E+03	1.62E-07	no
Phosphorus	3.14E-06	NV		na	1.10E-02	3.00E+02	3.67E-05	no
Selenium	NA	1.83E+01		na	NA	6.00E+02		na
Silver	NA	1.83E+01		na	NA	NV		na
Thallium	NA	2.56E-01		na	NA	NV		na

Table D-1: Comparison of Air Concentrations With Health-Based Values: Metals, Particulates, and Miscellaneous Compunds

	Green Parachute Signal Flare							
Compound	**$C_{chronic}$ (µg/m^3)**	**Health-Based Screening Level (µg/m^3)**	**$C_{chronic}$/ HBSL**	**> 1?**	**C_{acute} (µg/m^3)**	**Acute Toxicity Value (µg/m^3)**	**C_{acute}/ ATV**	**> 1?**
Zinc	9.90E-07	1.10E+03	9.04E-10	no	3.47E-03	3.00E+04	1.16E-07	no
Mercury	3.66E-15	3.13E-01	1.17E-14	no	1.28E-05	1.00E+02	1.28E-07	no

Footnotes:

(a) HCl/Cl_2 levels were too low to be reliably measured.

(b) Presence questionable - reported at similar levels in samples and blanks.

>1? = Is the ratio greater than one?

NA = Not applicable because compound was not detected.

NV = No Value available

$C_{chronic}$ = chronic averaged air Concentration

HBSL =chronic Health-Based Screening Level

C_{acute} = acute averaged air Concentration

ATV = Acute Toxicity Value

na = not applicable because compound was not detected or toxicity data is not available.

Table D-2: Comparison of Air Concentrations With Health-Based Values: Volatile Organic Compounds

	Green Parachute Signal Flare							
Compound (a)	$C_{chronic}$ (µg/m^3)	Health-Based Screening Level (µg/m^3)	$C_{chronic}$/ HBSL	> 1?	C_{acute} (µg/m^3)	Acute Toxicity Value (µg/m^3)	C_{acute}/ ATV	> 1?
TNMHC	4.68E-05	NA		na	NA	NV		na
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	NA	3.31E-02		na	NA	NV		na
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	3.39E-08	3.13E+04	1.08E-12	no	1.19E-04	9.58E+06	1.24E-11	no
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	NA	1.20E-01		na	NA	NV		na
1,1-Dichloroethane	NA	5.21E+02		na	NA	NV		na
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	NA	2.08E+02		na	NA	3.71E+04		na
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.48E-07	6.21E+00	2.38E-08	no	5.18E-04	1.80E+05	2.88E-09	no
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene & sec-Butylbenzene	2.12E-07	3.65E+01	5.81E-09	no	NA	NV		na
1,2-Dibromoethane	NA	8.73E-03		na	NA	NV		na
1,2-Dichloroethane	NA	7.39E-02		na	NA	NV		na
1,2-Dichloroethene	NA	3.29E+01		na	NA	2.38E+06		na
1,2-Dichloropropane	NA	9.89E-02		na	NA	NV		na
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	9.10E-08	6.21E+00	1.47E-08	no	3.19E-04	3.68E+05	8.65E-10	no
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	4.66E-08	6.21E+00	7.51E-09	no	1.63E-04	3.68E+05	4.44E-10	no
1,3-Butadiene	4.03E-07	3.74E-03	1.08E-04	no	8.23E-04	2,20E+04	3.74E-08	no
1,3-Butadiene	4.10E-07	3.74E-03	1.10E-04	no	8.37E-04	2.20E+04	3.81E-08	no
1,4-Dioxane	NA	6.11E-01		na	NA	NV		na
1-Butanol	NA	3.65E+02		na	NA	NV		na
1-Butene	1.30E-06	NA		na	NA	NV		na
1-Hexene	2.12E-07	NA		na	7.44E-04	1.03E+05	7.22E-09	no
1-Hydroxy-2-propanone	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
1-Methylnaphthalene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
1-Pentene	2.43E-07	NA		na	NA	NV		na
1-Propanol	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2,2,4-Trimethylhexane	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	NA	NA		na	NA	3.50E+05		na
2,2-Dimethylbutane	NA	NA		na	NA	1.80E+06		na
2,2-Dimethylheptane	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2,2-Dimethylpropane	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2,3,4-Trimethylpentane	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2,3-Butanedione	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2,3-Dihydro-1-methyl-1H-indene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na

Table D-2: Comparison of Air Concentrations With Health-Based Values: Volatile Organic Compounds

	Green Parachute Signal Flare							
Compound (a)	$C_{chronic}$ (µg/m^3)	Health-Based Screening Level (µg/m^3)	$C_{chronic}$/ HBSL	> 1?	C_{acute} (µg/m^3)	Acute Toxicity Value (µg/m^3)	C_{acute}/ ATV	> 1?
2,3-Dihydro-4-methyl-1H-indene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2,3-Dimethylbutane	9.10E-08	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2,3-Dimethylhexane	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2,3-Dimethylpentane	3.03E-08	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2,4,4-Trimethyl-1-pentene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2,4,4-Trimethyl-2-pentene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2,4-Dimethylhexane	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2,4-Dimethylpentane	6.06E-08	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2,5-Dimethylhexane	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2-Butanone	5.66E-07	1.04E+03	5.42E-10	no	1.98E-03	8.85E+05	2.24E-09	no
2-Butoxyethanol	NA	2.09E+01		na	NA	NV		na
2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2-Furaldehyde	2.34E-07	5.21E+01	4.49E-09	no	2.05E-04	8.00E+03	2.56E-08	no
2-Methyl-1,3-dioxolane	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2-Methyl-1-butene	9.10E-08	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2-Methyl-1-pentene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2-Methyl-2-butene	6.06E-08	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2-Methyl-2-pentene	6.06E-08	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2-Methylfuran	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2-Methylheptane	1.52E-07	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2-Methylhexane	3.03E-08	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2-Methylnaphthalene	NA	7.30E+01		na	NA	2.00E+04		na
2-Methylpentane	NA	NA		na	NA	1.80E+06		na
2-Methylpropanal	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2-Methylpropanenitrile	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2-Nitrophenol	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2-Pentanone	3.69E-07	NA		na	1.29E-03	8.80E+05	1.47E-09	no
2-Propanol	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
3-Ethylhexane	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
3-Methyl-1-butene	6.06E-08	NA		na	NA	NV		na
3-Methylhexane	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
3-Methylpentane	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na

Table D-2: Comparison of Air Concentrations With Health-Based Values: Volatile Organic Compounds

	Green Parachute Signal Flare							
Compound (a)	$C_{chronic}$ (µg/m^3)	Health-Based Screening Level (µg/m^3)	$C_{chronic}$/ HBSL	> 1?	C_{acute} (µg/m^3)	Acute Toxicity Value (µg/m^3)	C_{acute}/ ATV	> 1?
4-Methyl-1-pentene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
6-Methyl-5-hepten-2-one	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Acetic Acid	6.44E-07	NA		na	2.26E-03	3.68E+04	6.14E-08	no
Acetone	1.90E-06	3.65E+02	5.20E-09	no	6.65E-03	2.37E+06	2.80E-09	no
Acetonitrile	3.50E-07	6.21E+01	5.64E-09	no	1.23E-03	1.01E+05	1.22E-08	no
Acetophonone	1.90E-07	2.08E-02	9.13E-06	no	6.67E-04	3.00E+04	2.22E-08	no
Acetylene	6.73E-06	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Acrolein	2.91E-07	2.09E-02	1.39E-05	no	2.55E-04	2.30E+02	1.11E-06	no
Acrylonitrile	1.44E-07	2.83E-02	5.09E-06	no	2.94E-04	2.20E+04	1.34E-08	no
Allylchloride	NA	1.04E+00		na	NA	NV		na
alpha-Pinene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Benzaldehyde	5.37E-07	3.65E+02	1.47E-09	no	1.88E-03	1.50E+04	1.26E-07	no
Benzene	1.49E-06	2.49E-01	6.00E-06	no	3.05E-03	1.56E+05	1.96E-08	no
Benzene	1.52E-06	2.49E-01	6.10E-06	no	3.11E-03	1.56E+05	1.99E-08	no
Benzofuran	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Benzonitrile	3.44E-07	NA		na	1.20E-03	1.50E+04	8.03E-08	no
Benzylchloride	NA	3.96E-02		na	NA	NV		na
beta-Pinene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Butanal	4.08E-08	NA		na	1.43E-04	7.38E+04	1.94E-09	no
Carbon Disulfide	5.65E-06	7.30E+02	7.73E-09	no	4.95E-03	3.10E+03	1.60E-06	no
Carbontetrachloride	3.35E-08	1.28E-01	2.61E-07	no	6.84E-05	1.28E+05	5.35E-10	no
Carbonyl Sulfide	6.79E-08	NA		na	2.38E-04	9.84E+03	2.42E-08	no
Chlorobenzene	NA	6.21E+01		na	NA	NV		na
Chloroethene	NA	2.24E-02		na	NA	NV		na
Chloroform	NA	8.35E-02		na	NA	2.48E+05		na
cis 1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	NA	5.17E-02		na	NA	NV		na
cis-2-Butene	1.21E-07	NA		na	NA	NV		na
cis-2-Hexene	6.06E-08	NA		na	NA	NV		na
cis-2-Pentene	1.21E-07	NA		na	NA	NV		na
cis-4-Methyl-2-pentene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Cyanogen	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Cyclohexane	NA	NA		na	NA	3.10E+06		na

Table D-2: Comparison of Air Concentrations With Health-Based Values: Volatile Organic Compounds

	Green Parachute Signal Flare							
Compound (a)	**$C_{chronic}$ (µg/m^3)**	**Health-Based Screening Level (µg/m^3)**	**$C_{chronic}$/ HBSL**	**> 1?**	**C_{acute} (µg/m^3)**	**Acute Toxicity Value (µg/m^3)**	**C_{acute}/ ATV**	**> 1?**
Cyclohexanone	NA	1.83E+04		na	NA	NV		na
Cyclopentane	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Cyclopentanone	2.63E-07	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Cyclopentene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Decanal	1.00E-06	NA		na	NA	NV		na
delta 3-Carene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Dichlorodifluoromethane	2.14E-07	2.09E+02	1.02E-09	no	7.48E-04	1.48E+07	5.05E-11	no
Dichlorotetrafluoroethane	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Dimethyldisulfide	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
d-Limonene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
ETBE	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Ethane	1.88E-06	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Ethylbenzene	9.10E-08	1.06E+03	8.59E-11	no	3.19E-04	5.43E+05	5.87E-10	no
Ethylbenzene	1.40E-07	1.06E+03	1.32E-10	no	4.89E-04	5.43E+05	9.02E-10	no
Ethylchloride	NA	2.32E+00		na	NA	NV		na
Ethylcyclohexane	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Ethylene	1.53E-05	NA		na	5.36E-02	4.60E+05	1.17E-07	no
Furan	1.96E-07	3.65E+00	5.37E-08	no	6.86E-04	1.67E+02	4.12E-06	no
Heptanal	4.96E-08	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Hexachlorobutadiene	NA	8.73E-02		na	NA	NV		na
Hexanal	4.42E-08	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Hexanenitrile	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
i-Butane	NA	NA		na	NA	9.52E+05		na
i-Butene	3.33E-07	NA		na	1.17E-03	6.87E+06	1.70E-10	no
Indane	NA	NA		na	NA	1.25E+05		na
i-Pentane	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
i-Propylbenzene	NA	4.02E+02		na	NA	NV		na
Isoprene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
m&p-Xylene	2.84E-07	7.30E+02	3.89E-10	no	9.95E-04	6.51E+05	1.53E-09	no
m-Dichlorobenzene	NA	3.29E+00		na	NA	NV		na
Methacrolein	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Methyl Methacrylate	NA	7.30E+02		na	NA	NV		na

Table D-2: Comparison of Air Concentrations With Health-Based Values: Volatile Organic Compounds

	Green Parachute Signal Flare							
Compound (a)	$C_{chronic}$ (µg/m^3)	Health-Based Screening Level (µg/m^3)	$C_{chronic}$/ HBSL	> 1?	C_{acute} (µg/m^3)	Acute Toxicity Value (µg/m^3)	C_{acute}/ ATV	> 1?
Methylbromide	NA	5.21E+00		na	NA	NV		na
Methylchloride	NA	1.07E+00		na	NA	NV		na
Methylchloroform	2.52E-08	1.04E+03	2.41E-11	no	2.20E-05	1.94E+06	1.13E-11	no
Methylcyclohexane	NA	3.13E+03		na	NA	4.81E+06		na
Methylcyclopentane	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Methylenechloride	1.42E-05	4.09E+00	3.48E-06	no	2.90E-02	6.96E+05	4.17E-08	no
Methylnitrite	2.39E-07	NA		na	NA	NV		na
m-Ethyltoluene	9.10E-08	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Methyl-vinyl Ketone	NA	NA		na	NA	8.61E+01		na
MTBE	1.21E-07	3.13E+03	3.88E-11	no	4.25E-04	4.32E+05	9.84E-10	no
MTBE	5.67E-08	3.13E+03	1.81E-11	no	1.99E-04	4.32E+05	4.60E-10	no
m-Xylene & p-Xylene	2.12E-07	7.30E+02	2.91E-10	no	7.44E-04	6.51E+05	1.14E-09	no
Naphthalene	5.27E-07	3.13E+00	1.68E-07	no	1.85E-03	7.86E+04	2.35E-08	no
n-Butane	9.10E-08	NA		na	NA	NV		na
n-Decane	6.06E-08	NA		na	2.12E-04	4.37E+03	4.87E-08	no
n-Heptane	NA	NA		na	NA	1.80E+06		na
n-Hexane	NA	2.09E+02		na	NA	5.28E+05		na
Nitromethane	8.06E-07	NA		na	2.82E-03	1.50E+05	1.88E-08	no
n-Nonane	9.10E-08	NA		na	3.19E-04	1.05E+06	3.04E-10	no
n-Octane	6.06E-08	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Nonanal	6.61E-07	NA		na	NA	NV		na
n-Pentane	3.03E-08	NA		na	1.06E-04	1.80E+06	5.90E-11	no
n-Propylbenzene	3.03E-08	3.65E+01	8.31E-10	no	1.06E-04	3.68E+05	2.88E-10	no
Octanal	3.69E-07	NA		na	NA	NV		na
o-Dichlorobenzene	NA	2.09E+02		na	NA	NV		na
o-Ethyltoluene	9.10E-08	NA		na	3.19E-04	7.50E+02	4.25E-07	no
o-Xylene	9.10E-08	7.30E+02	1.25E-10	no	3.19E-04	6.51E+05	4.90E-10	no
o-Xylene	9.25E-08	7.30E+02	1.27E-10	no	3.24E-04	6.51E+05	4.98E-10	no
p-Dichlorobenzene	NA	2.80E-01		na	NA	NV		na
Pentanal	1.46E-07	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Pentanenitrile	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Perchloroethylene	NA	3.31E+00		na	NA	6.89E+05		na

Table D-2: Comparison of Air Concentrations With Health-Based Values: Volatile Organic Compounds

	Green Parachute Signal Flare							
Compound (a)	**$C_{chronic}$ (µg/m^3)**	**Health-Based Screening Level (µg/m^3)**	**$C_{chronic}$/ HBSL**	**> 1?**	**C_{acute} (µg/m^3)**	**Acute Toxicity Value (µg/m^3)**	**C_{acute}/ ATV**	**> 1?**
p-Ethyltoluene	2.43E-07	NA		na	8.50E-04	1.25E+05	6.80E-09	no
p-Ethyltoluene	5.94E-08	NA		na	2.08E-04	1.25E+05	1.67E-09	no
Phenylacetylene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Propane	3.03E-07	NA		na	1.06E-03	3.78E+06	2.81E-10	no
Propanenitrile	NA	NA		na	NA	3.38E+04		na
Propene	4.21E-06	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Styrene	NA	1.06E+03		na	NA	2.13E+05		na
Styrene	NA	1.06E+03		na	NA	2.13E+05		na
Tetrahydrofuran	NA	9.89E-01		na	NA	NV		na
Thiophene	2.10E-07	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Toluene	4.24E-07	4.02E+02	1.06E-09	no	3.72E-04	1.88E+05	1.98E-09	no
Toluene	4.32E-07	4.02E+02	1.08E-09	no	3.78E-04	1.88E+05	2.02E-09	no
trans 1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
trans-2-Butenal	4.61E-08	3.54E-03	1.30E-05	no	NA	NV		na
trans-2-Butene	4.85E-07	NA		na	NA	NV		na
trans-2-Hexene	6.06E-08	NA		na	NA	NV		na
trans-2-Pentene	1.21E-07	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Trichloroethylene	NA	1.12E+00		na	NA	NV		na
Trichloromonofluoromethane	2.57E-08	7.30E+02	3.52E-11	no	NA	NV		na
Vinylidenechloride	NA	3.84E-02		na	NA	NV		na

Footnotes:

(a) Items in bold represent duplicate values for those compounds that are common for Method TO-14 and TO-12.

>1? = Is the ratio greater than one?

NA = Not Applicable because compound was not detected

NV = No Value available

$C_{chronic}$ = chronic averaged air Concentration

RBSL = chronic Health-Based Screening Level

C_{acute} = acute averaged air Concentration

ATV = Acute Toxicity Value

na = not applicable because compound was not detected or toxicity data is not available.

Table D-3: Comparison of Air Concentrations with Health-Based Values: Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds

	Green Parachute Signal Flare							
Compound	$C_{chronic}$ (µg/m^3)	Health-Based Screening Level (µg/m^3)	$C_{chronic}$/ HBSL	> 1?	C_{acute} (µg/m^3)	Acute Toxicity Value (µg/m^3)	C_{acute}/ ATV	> 1?
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	NA	1.10E+00		na	NA	NV		na
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	NA	2.08E+02		na	NA	NV		na
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	NA	2.09E+02		na	NA	NV		na
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	NA	3.29E+00		na	NA	NV		na
1,3-Dinitrobenzene	NA	3.65E-01		na	NA	NV		na
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	NA	3.06E-01		na	NA	NV		na
1,4-Naphthoquinone	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
1-Naphthylamine	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	NA	1.10E+02		na	NA	NV		na
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	NA	3.65E+02		na	NA	NV		na
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	NA	6.20E-01		na	NA	NV		na
2,4-Dichlorophenol	NA	1.10E+01		na	NA	NV		na
2,4-Dimethylphenol	NA	7.30E+01		na	NA	NV		na
2,4-Dinitrophenol	NA	7.30E+00		na	NA	NV		na
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	NA	7.30E+00		na	NA	NV		na
2,6-Dichlorophenol	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	NA	3.65E+00		na	NA	NV		na
2-Acetylaminofluorene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2-Chloronaphthalene	NA	2.92E+02		na	NA	NV		na
2-Chlorophenol	NA	1.83E+01		na	NA	NV		na
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.76E-07	7.30E+01	2.42E-09	no	6.18E-04	2.00E+04	3.09E-08	no
2-Methylphenol	NA	1.83E+02		na	NA	NV		na
2-Naphthylamine	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2-Nitroaniline	NA	2.09E-01		na	NA	NV		na
2-Nitrophenol	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
2-Picoline	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	NA	1.49E-02		na	NA	NV		na
3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine	NA	7.31E-04		na	NA	NV		na
3-Methylcholanthrene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
3-Nitroaniline	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	NA	3.65E-01		na	NA	NV		na
4-Aminobiphenyl	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na

Table D-3: Comparison of Air Concentrations with Health-Based Values: Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds

	Green Parachute Signal Flare							
Compound	$C_{chronic}$ ($\mu g/m^3$)	Health-Based Screening Level ($\mu g/m^3$)	$C_{chronic}$/ HBSL	> 1?	C_{acute} ($\mu g/m^3$)	Acute Toxicity Value ($\mu g/m^3$)	C_{acute}/ ATV	> 1?
4-Bromophenylphenyl ether	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
4-Chlorophenylphenyl ether	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
4-Methylphenol/3-Methylphenol	NA	1.83E+01		na	NA	NV		na
4-Nitroaniline	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
4-Nitrophenol	NA	2.92E+01		na	NA	NV		na
4-Nitroquinoline-1-oxide	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
5-Nitro-o-toluidine	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Acenaphthene	NA	2.19E+02		na	NA	NV		na
Acenaphthylene	NA	NA		na	NA	2.00E+02		na
Acetophenone	9.85E-08	2.08E-02	4.73E-06	no	3.45E-04	3.00E+04	1.15E-08	no
Aniline	NA	1.04E+00		na	NA	2.29E+04		na
Anthracene	NA	1.10E+03		na	NA	NV		na
Benz(a)anthracene	NA	2.17E-02		na	NA	NV		na
Benz(a)pyrene	NA	2.17E-03		na	NA	NV		na
Benzidine	NA	2.92E-05		na	NA	NV		na
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	NA	2.17E-02		na	NA			na
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	NA	2.17E-01		na	NA	NV		na
Benzoic acid	NA	1.46E+04		na	NA	NV		na
Benzyl alcohol	NA	1.10E+03		na	NA	5.53E+04		na
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	NA	5.82E-03		na	NA	NV		na
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	NA	1.92E-01		na	NA	NV		na
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	NA	4.80E-01		na	NA	NV		na
Butylbenzylphthalate	NA	7.30E+02		na	NA	5.00E+05		na
Carbazole	NA	3.36E-01		na	NA	NV		na
Chlorobenzilate	NA	2.49E-02		na	NA			na
Chrysene	NA	2.17E+00		na	NA	NV		na
Diallate	NA	1.10E-01		na	NA	NV		na
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	NA	2.17E-03		na	NA	NV		na

Table D-3: Comparison of Air Concentrations with Health-Based Values: Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds

	Green Parachute Signal Flare							
Compound	$C_{chronic}$ (µg/m^3)	Health-Based Screening Level (µg/m^3)	$C_{chronic}$/ HBSL	> 1?	C_{acute} (µg/m^3)	Acute Toxicity Value (µg/m^3)	C_{acute}/ ATV	> 1?
Dibenzofuran	NA	1.46E+01		na	NA	NV		na
Diethylphthalate	1.11E-06	2.92E+03	3.82E-10	no	3.91E-03	1.50E+04	2.60E-07	no
Dimethylphenethylamine	NA	3.65E+00		na	NA	NV		na
Dimethylphthalate	NA	3.65E+04		na	NA	NV		na
Di-n-butylphthalate	NA	3.65E+02		na	NA	1.50E+04		na
Di-n-octylphthalate	NA	7.30E+01		na	NA	1.50E+05		na
Diphenylamine/N-NitrosoDPA	NA	9.13E+01		na	NA	NV		na
Ethyl methanesulfonate	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Fluoranthene	NA	1.46E+02		na	NA	NV		na
Fluorene	NA	1.46E+02		na	NA	7.50E+04		na
Hexachlorobenzene	NA	4.18E-03		na	NA	NV		na
Hexachlorobutadiene	NA	8.62E-02		na	NA	NV		na
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	NA	7.30E-02		na	NA	NV		na
Hexachloroethane	NA	4.80E-01		na	NA	NV		na
Hexachloropropene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	NA	2.17E-02		na	NA	NV		na
Isophorone	NA	7.08E+00		na	NA	NV		na
Isosafrole	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Kepone	NA	3.74E-04		na	NA	NV		na
Methapyrilene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Methyl methanesulfonate	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Naphthalene	2.06E-07	3.13E+00	6.59E-08	no	7.22E-04	7.86E+04	9.18E-09	no
Nitrobenzene	NA	2.09E+00		na	NA	NV		na
N-Nitrosodiethylamine	NA	4.47E-05		na	NA	NV		na
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	NA	4.47E-05		na	NA	NV		na
N-Nitroso-di-n-butylamine	NA	1.20E-03		na	NA	NV		na
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	NA	9.61E-04		na	NA	NV		na
N-Nitrosomethylethylamine	NA	3.06E-04		na	NA	NV		na
N-Nitrosomorpholine	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
N-Nitrosopiperidine	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
N-Nitrosopyrrolidine	NA	3.15E-03		na	NA	NV		na
o-Toluidine	NA	2.80E-02		na	NA	NV		na

Table D-3: Comparison of Air Concentrations with Health-Based Values: Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds

	Green Parachute Signal Flare							
Compound	$C_{chronic}$ (µg/m^3)	Health-Based Screening Level (µg/m^3)	$C_{chronic}$/ HBSL	> 1?	C_{acute} (µg/m^3)	Acute Toxicity Value (µg/m^3)	C_{acute}/ ATV	> 1?
p-Chloroaniline	NA	1.46E+01		na	NA	NV		na
p-Dimethylaminoazobenzene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Pentachlorobenzene	NA	2.92E+00		na	NA	NV		na
Pentachloroethane	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Pentachloronitrobenzene	NA	2.59E-02		na	NA	NV		na
Pentachlorophenol	NA	5.60E-02		na	NA	NV		na
Phenacetin	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Phenanthrene	NA	NA		na	NA	2.00E+03		na
Phenol	NA	2.19E+03		na	NA	NV		na
Pronamide	NA	2.74E+02		na	NA	NV		na
Pyrene	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
Pyridine	NA	3.65E+00		na	NA	NV		na
Safrole	NA	NA		na	NA	NV		na
sym-Trinitrobenzene	NA	1.10E+02		na	NA	NV		na

Footnotes:
>1? = Is the ratio greater than one?
NA = Not Applicable because compound was not detected.
NV = No Value available
$C_{chronic}$ = chronic averaged air Concentration
HBSL = chronic Health-Based Screening Level
C_{acute} = acute averaged air Concentration
ATV = Acute Toxicity Value
na = not applicable because compound was not detected or no toxicity data is available.

Table D-4: Comparison of Air Concentrations with Health-Based Values: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

	Green Parachute Signal Flare			
Compound (a)	$C_{chronic}$ (µg/m^3)	$C_{chronic}$ (µg/m^3)	$C_{chronic}$ (µg/m^3)	$C_{chronic}$ (µg/m^3)
	Aliphatic:C<=8	*Aliphatic:C>8*	*Aromatic:C<=8*	*Aromatic:C>8*
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	NA	NA	NA	1.48E-07
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene & sec-Butylbenzene	NA	NA	NA	2.12E-07
1-Butene	1.30E-06	NA	NA	NA
1-Hexene	2.12E-07	NA	NA	NA
1-Pentene	2.43E-07	NA	NA	NA
2,3-Dimethylbutane	9.10E-08	NA	NA	NA
2,3-Dimethylpentane	3.03E-08	NA	NA	NA
2,4-Dimethylpentane	6.06E-08	NA	NA	NA
2-Methyl-1-butene	9.10E-08	NA	NA	NA
2-Methyl-2-butene	6.06E-08	NA	NA	NA
2-Methyl-2-pentene	6.06E-08	NA	NA	NA
2-Methylheptane	1.52E-07	NA	NA	NA
2-Methylhexane	3.03E-08	NA	NA	NA
3-Methyl-1-butene	6.06E-08	NA	NA	NA
Benzene	NA	NA	3.49E-06	NA
Benzene	NA	NA	3.55E-06	NA
cis-2-Butene	1.21E-07	NA	NA	NA
cis-2-Hexene	6.06E-08	NA	NA	NA
cis-2-Pentene	1.21E-07	NA	NA	NA
Ethylbenzene	NA	NA	9.10E-08	NA
Ethylbenzene	NA	NA	1.40E-07	NA
i-Butene	3.33E-07	NA	NA	NA
m&p-Xylene	NA	NA	2.84E-07	NA
m-Xylene & p-Xylene	NA	NA	2.12E-07	NA
n-Butane	9.10E-08	NA	NA	NA
n-Decane	NA	6.06E-08	NA	NA
n-Nonane	NA	9.10E-08	NA	NA
n-Octane	6.06E-08	NA	NA	NA

Table D-4: Comparison of Air Concentrations with Health-Based Values: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

	Green Parachute Signal Flare			
Compound (a)	$C_{chronic}$ (µg/m^3)	$C_{chronic}$ (µg/m^3)	$C_{chronic}$ (µg/m^3)	$C_{chronic}$ (µg/m^3)
n-Pentane	3.03E-08	NA	NA	NA
n-Propylbenzene	NA	NA	NA	3.03E-08
o-Xylene	NA	NA	9.10E-08	NA
o-Xylene	NA	NA	9.25E-08	NA
Propane	3.03E-07	NA	NA	NA
Toluene	NA	NA	4.24E-07	NA
Toluene	NA	NA	4.32E-07	NA
trans-2-Butene	4.85E-07	NA	NA	NA
trans-2-Hexene	6.06E-08	NA	NA	NA
trans-2-Pentene	1.21E-07	NA	NA	NA
2-Methylnaphthalene	NA	NA	NA	1.76E-07
Total	4.18E-06	1.52E-07	4.49E-06	2.43E-07
$C_{chronic}$/HBSL	2.18E-10	1.45E-10	1.08E-08	1.16E-09
>1?	no	no	no	no

Footnotes:
(a) Items in bold represent duplicate values: highest concentration was used to estimate total petroleum hydrocarbon concentration
>1? = Is the ratio greater than one?
NA = Not Applicable because compound was not detected
$C_{chronic}$ = chronic averaged air Concentration
HBSL = Health-Based Screening Level

APPENDIX E

FACT SHEET SUBMITTED TO AEC

United States Army Environmental Center
Pyrotechnics Fact Sheet

M195 Green Star Parachute Signal Flare

Department of Defense Identification Code: L305



Breathing air emissions from the M195 will not impact the health of residents who live near Army training facilities.

WHAT ARE PYROTECHNICS?

The terms pyrotechnics and firework are often used interchangeably. Pyrotechnics are devices that give off smoke, light, and/or a loud noise when activated. In the military, pyrotechnics are used for signaling, obscuring, and illuminating during training and combat.

WHAT IS THE M195?

The M195 is a star parachute, which is a type of pyrotechnic device used for signaling and illuminating. The M195 produces a single, green, parachute-suspended star. It is 10.16 inches long, 1.67 inches wide, and weighs 1.3 pounds.

HOW IS THE M195 USED?

A rocket containing the signal is launched from a hand-held device. After igniting, the rocket reaches a height of about 200 feet and produces a single, green star illumination resembling a firework. The signal extends to a height of 700 to 750 feet and can be seen from a distance of 30 to 35 miles at night. Use of this device is important in training troops to use and identify different signals, which is an important method of communication in the field.

WHERE IS THE M195 USED?

The M195 is used during many Army training events. These events are held at nearly every Army training installation. At most locations, the training areas are at least 1000 meters (over half a mile) away from populated areas. In general, two of these items are used during a day of training, which typically occurs five times per year.

WHAT IS IN THE M195?

The M195 consists of a parachute-suspended illuminant assembly and a rocket motor propulsion assembly. These are contained in a hand-held aluminum launching tube. The illumination component consists primarily of barium nitrate and magnesium powder.

WILL BREATHING AIR EMISSIONS FROM THE M195 AFFECT MY HEALTH?

To answer this question, the U.S. Army Environmental Center tested the air emissions from the M195. The U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine then determined if there would be a potential for health effects from inhalation to residents living near training areas. Results showed that residents breathing air as close as 100 meters (328 feet) from the activation site are safe from these emissions.

HOW WAS THE STUDY DONE?

To gather data for the study, airborne emissions were collected by activating the M195 in a test chamber. The air in the chamber was tested to identify the types and the amount of substances released. More than 300 substances were looked for during this part of the study.

This information was then used in an air model (a computer program that allows estimation of air concentrations) to determine the amount of each substance, to which someone living near a training area might be exposed. Downwind concentrations were estimated based on a typical use scenario for the M195. Since the study does not look at a specific training area, generic assumptions were used to model the path of the emissions.

These estimated air concentrations were then compared to safe screening levels established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and other agencies. If the air concentrations are below these screening levels, they are considered safe for everyone, including sensitive people such as the sick, elderly, and children.

WHAT ARE THE LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY?

Many steps were taken to ensure that the results of this study are protective of everyone who lives close to training areas. However, limitations do exist with this study. For example, the study does not consider exposure to other types of pyrotechnics that could also be used during the same training event. Due to these limitations, conservative assumptions were used to ensure the protection of public health from inhalation of the M195 air emissions.

WHERE CAN I GET MORE INFORMATION?

For more information on the M195 and other military munitions call the Army Environmental Center Hotline at 1-800-USA-3845, visit our website at www.aec.army.mil, or email us at t2hotline@aec.apgea.army.mil.